

УДК 004.8

## Formalisms for conceptual design of closed information systems\*

*Anureev I.S. (Institute of Informatics Systems)*

A closed information system is an information system such that its environment does not change it, and there is an information transfer from it to its environment and from its environment to it. In this paper two formalisms (information query systems and conceptual configuration systems) for abstract unified modelling of the artifacts (concept sketches and models) of the conceptual design of closed information systems, early phase of information systems design process, are proposed. Information query systems defines the abstract unified information model for the artifacts, based on such general concepts as state, information query and answer. Conceptual configuration systems are a formalism for conceptual modelling of information query systems. They defines the abstract unified conceptual model for the artifacts. The basic definitions of the theory of conceptual configuration systems are given. These systems were demonstrated to allow to model both typical and new kinds of ontological elements. The classification of ontological elements based on such systems is described. A language of conceptual configuration systems is defined.

*Keywords:* closed information system, information query system, conceptual structure, ontology, ontological element, conceptual, conceptual state, conceptual configuration, conceptual configuration system, conceptual information query model, CCSL

### 1. Introduction

The conceptual models play an important role in the overall system development life cycle [1]. Numerous conceptual modelling techniques have been created, but all of them have a limited number of kinds of ontological elements and therefore can only represent ontological elements of fixed conceptual granularity. For example, entity-relationship modelling technique [2] uses two kinds of ontological elements: entities and relationships.

The purpose of the paper is propose formalisms for abstract unified modelling of the artifacts (concept sketches and models) of the conceptual design of closed information systems (IS for short) by ontological elements of arbitrary conceptual granularity. In our two stage approach the informational and conceptual aspects of the system that the conceptual model represents are

---

Partially supported by RFBR under grants 15-01-05974 and 15-07-04144 and SB RAS interdisciplinary integration project No.15/10.

described by two separate formalisms. The first formalism describes the informational model of the system, and the second formalism describes the conceptual model of the informational model.

The first formalism called an information query system (IQS for short) is a system characterized by sets of states, state objects, information queries, information query objects, answers, answer objects and an interpretation function. States of an IQS models the information storage in an IS modelled by the IQS, queries of the IQS model the information transferring from an environment to the IS to get the storage content, and answers of the IQS model the information transferring from the IS to the environment initiated by these queries. State objects, query objects and answer objects are objects that can be observed in states, queries and answers, respectively. They describe the observed internal structure of states, queries and answers. The interpretation function models the information transfer from the IS to its environment and from its environment to the IS. It associates queries with functions from states to answers.

A wide variety of information systems is modelled by IQSs in the information aspect, including search services with search results as answers, factual factographic databases with factual information as answers, document databases with documents as answers, content consumption devices with content information as answers, logical systems with truth values as answers, formalisms specifying denotational semantics of programming languages with denotations as answers and so on.

We consider that the second formalism used for for conceptual modelling of IQSs must meet the following general requirements (in relation to modelling of a IQS):

1. It must model the conceptual structure of states and state objects of the IQS.
2. It must model the content of the conceptual structure.
3. It must model information queries, information query objects, answers and answer objects of the IQS.
4. It must model the interpretation function of the IQS.
5. It must be quite universal to model typical ontological elements (concepts, attributes, concept instances, relations, relation instances, types, domains, and so on.).
6. It must provide a quite complete classification of ontological elements, including the determination of their new kinds and subkinds with arbitrary conceptual granularity.
7. The model of the interpretation function must be extensible.
8. It must have language support. The language associated with the formalism must define

syntactic representations of models of states, state objects, queries, query objects, answers and answer objects and includes the set of predefined basic query models.

To our knowledge, there is no formalism that meets all the above requirements. Therefore, we propose a new formalism, conceptual configuration systems (CCS for short), that meets these requirements.

The paper has the following structure. The preliminary concepts and notation are given in section 2. The formal definition of IQSs and the basic definitions of the theory of CCSs are given in section 3. The structure of conceptals (atomic conceptual structures of CCSs) is described in section 4. The structure of conceptual states is considered in section 5. The classification of elements of conceptual states such that concepts, attributes and individuals is presented in section 6. The structure of concepts is described in section 7. The classification and interpretation of concepts is given in 8. The structure of attributes is described in section 9. The classification and interpretation of attributes is given in 10. The classification of conceptals and ontological elements modelled by these conceptals is presented in section 11. Relations, types, domains and inheritance are modelled by conceptual structures of CCSs in section 12. Generic conceptals describing sets of conceptals satisfying a pattern are defined in section 13. The language CCSL of CCSs is described in section 14. The semantics of interpretable elements in CCSL is defined in section 15. We establish that CCSs meet the above requirements in section 16. CCSs are compared with the related formalism, abstract state machines [3, 4], in section 17.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Sets, sequences, multisets

Let  $O_b$  be the set of objects considered in this paper. Let  $S_t$  be a set of sets. Let  $I_{nt}$ ,  $N_t$ ,  $N_{t_0}$  and  $B_t$  be sets of integers, natural numbers, natural numbers with zero and boolean values *true* and *false*, respectively.

Let the names of sets be represented by capital letters possibly with subscripts and the elements of sets be represented by the corresponding small letters possibly with extended subscripts. For example,  $i_{nt}$  and  $i_{nt.1}$  are elements of  $I_{nt}$ .

Let  $S_q$  be a set of sequences. Let  $s_{t.(*)}$ ,  $s_{t.\{*\}}$ , and  $s_{t.*}$  denote sets of sequences of the forms  $(o_{b.1}, \dots, o_{b.n_{t_0}})$ ,  $\{o_{b.1}, \dots, o_{b.n_{t_0}}\}$ , and  $o_{b.1}, \dots, o_{b.n_{t_0}}$  from elements of  $s_t$ . For example,  $I_{nt.(*)}$  is a set of sequences of the form  $(i_{nt.1}, \dots, i_{nt.n_{t_0}})$ , and  $i_{nt.*}$  is a sequence of the form  $i_{nt.1}, \dots, i_{nt.n_{t_0}}$ .

Let  $o_{b.1}, \dots, o_{b.n_{t0}}$ , denote  $o_{b.1}, \dots, o_{b.n_{t0}}$ . Let  $s_{t.(*n_{t0})}$ ,  $s_{t.\{*n_{t0}\}}$ , and  $s_{t.*n_{t0}}$  denote sets of the corresponding sequences of the length  $n_{t0}$ .

Let  $o_{b.1} \prec_{[[s_q]]} o_{b.2}$  denote the fact that there exist  $o_{b.*.1}$ ,  $o_{b.*.2}$  and  $o_{b.*.3}$  such that  $s_q = o_{b.*.1}, o_{b.1}, o_{b.*.2}, o_{b.2}, o_{b.*.3}$ , or  $s_q = (o_{b.*.1}, o_{b.1}, o_{b.*.2}, o_{b.2}, o_{b.*.3})$ .

Let  $[o_b \ o_{b.1} \leftrightarrow o_{b.2}]$  denote the result of replacement of all occurrences of  $o_{b.1}$  in  $o_b$  by  $o_{b.2}$ . Let  $[s_q \ o_b \leftrightarrow_* o_{b.1}]$  denote the result of replacement of each element  $o_{b.2}$  in  $s_q$  by  $[o_{b.1} \ o_b \leftrightarrow o_{b.2}]$ . For example,  $[(a, b) \ x \leftrightarrow_* (f \ x)]$  denotes  $((f \ a), (f \ b))$ .

Let  $[len \ s_q]$  denote the length of  $s_q$ . Let  $und$  denote the undefined value. Let  $[s_q \cdot n_t]$  denote the  $n_t$ -th element of  $s_q$ . If  $[len \ s_q] < n_t$ , then  $[s_q \cdot n_t] = und$ . Let  $[s_q + s_{q.1}]$ ,  $[o_b \cdot + s_q]$  and  $[s_q + \cdot o_b]$  denote  $o_{b.*}, o_{b.*.1}, o_b, o_{b.*}$  and  $o_{b.*}, o_b$ , where  $s_q = o_{b.*}$  and  $s_{q.1} = o_{b.*.1}$ .

Let  $[and \ s_q]$  denote  $(c_{nd.1} \ and \ \dots \ and \ c_{nd.n_t})$ , where  $s_q = c_{nd.1}, \dots, c_{nd.n_t}$ , and  $[and]$  denote *true*. In the case of  $n_t = 1$ , the brackets can be omitted.

Let  $o_{b.1}, o_{b.2} \in S_t \cup S_q$ . Then  $o_{b.1} =_{st} o_{b.2}$  denote that the sets of elements of  $o_{b.1}$  and  $o_{b.2}$  coincide, and  $o_{b.1} =_{ml} o_{b.2}$  denote that the multisets of elements of  $o_{b.1}$  and  $o_{b.2}$  coincide.

## 2.2. Contexts

The terms used in the paper are context-dependent.

Let  $L_b$  be a set of objects called labels. Contexts have the form  $[[o_{b.*}]]$ , where the elements of  $o_{b.*}$  called embedded contexts have the form:  $l_b:o_b, l_b:$  or  $o_b$ .

The context in which some embedded contexts are omitted is called a partial context. All omitted embedded contexts are considered bound by the existential quantifier, unless otherwise specified.

Let  $o_b[[o_{b.*}]]$  denote the object  $o_b$  in the context  $[[o_{b.*}]]$ .

The object 'in  $[[o_b, o_{b.*}]]$ ' can be reduced to 'in  $[[o_b]]$  in  $[[o_{b.*}]]$ ' if this does not lead to ambiguity.

## 2.3. Functions

Let  $F_n$  be a set of functions. Let  $A_{rg}$  and  $V_l$  be sets of objects called arguments and values. Let  $[f_n \ a_{rg.*}]$  denote the application of  $f_n$  to  $a_{rg.*}$ .

Let  $[support \ f_n]$  denote the support in  $[[f_n]]$ , i. e.  $[support \ f_n] = \{a_{rg} : [f_n \ a_{rg}] \neq und\}$ . Let  $[image \ f_n \ s_t]$  denote the image in  $[[f_n, s_t]]$ , i. e.  $[image \ f_n \ s_t] = \{[f_n \ a_{rg}] : a_{rg} \in s_t\}$ . Let  $[image \ f_n]$  denote the image in  $[[f_n, [support \ f_n]]]$ . Let  $[narrow \ f_n \ s_t]$  denote the function  $f_{n.1}$  such that  $[support \ f_{n.1}] = [support \ f_{n.1}] \cap s_t$ , and  $[f_{n.1} \ a_{rg}] = [f_n \ a_{rg}]$  for each  $a_{rg} \in [support \ f_{n.1}]$ .

The function  $f_{n.1}$  is called a narrowing of  $f_n$  to  $s_t$ . Let  $[support\ f_{n.1}] \cap [support\ f_{n.2}] = \emptyset$ . Let  $f_{n.1} \cup f_{n.2}$  denote the union  $f_n$  of  $f_{n.1}$  and  $f_{n.2}$  such that  $[f_n\ a_{rg}] = [f_{n.1}\ a_{rg}]$  for each  $a_{rg} \in [support\ f_{n.1}]$ , and  $[f_n\ a_{rg}] = [f_{n.2}\ a_{rg}]$  for each  $a_{rg} \in [support\ f_{n.2}]$ . Let  $f_{n.1} \subseteq f_{n.2}$  denote the fact that  $[support\ f_{n.1}] \subseteq [support\ f_{n.2}]$ , and  $[f_{n.1}\ a_{rg}] = [f_{n.2}\ a_{rg}]$  for each  $a_{rg} \in [support\ f_{n.1}]$ .

An object  $u_p$  of the form  $a_{rg} : v_l$  is called an update. Let  $U_p$  be a set of updates. The objects  $a_{rg}$  and  $v_l$  are called an argument and value in  $\llbracket u_p \rrbracket$ .

Let  $[f_n\ u_p]$  denote the function  $f_{n.1}$  such that  $[f_{n.1}\ a_{rg}] = [f_n\ a_{rg}]$  if  $a_{rg} \neq a_{rg}\llbracket u_p \rrbracket$ , and  $[f_{n.1}\ a_{rg}\llbracket u_p \rrbracket] = v_l\llbracket u_p \rrbracket$ . Let  $[f_n\ u_p, u_{p.*n_t}]$  be a shortcut for  $\llbracket [f_n\ u_p]\ u_{p.*n_t} \rrbracket$ . Let  $[f_n\ a_{rg}.a_{rg.1}. \dots .a_{rg.n_t} : v_l]$  be a shortcut for  $[f_n\ a_{rg} : \llbracket [f_n\ a_{rg}]\ a_{rg.1}. \dots .a_{rg.n_t} : v_l \rrbracket]$ . Let  $[u_{p.*}]$  be a shortcut for  $[f_n\ u_{p.*}]$ , where  $[support\ f_n] = \emptyset$ .

Let  $C_{nd}$  be a set of objects called conditions. Let  $[if\ c_{nd}\ then\ o_{b.1}\ else\ o_{b.2}]$  denote the object  $o_b$  such that

- if  $c_{nd} = true$ , then  $o_b = o_{b.1}$ ;
- if  $c_{nd} = false$ , then  $o_b = o_{b.2}$ .

## 2.4. Attributes and multi-attributes

An object  $o_{b.ma}$  of the form  $(u_{p.*})$  is called a multi-attribute object. Let  $O_{b.ma}$  be a set of multi-attribute objects. The elements of  $[o_{b.ma}\ w \leftarrow_* a_{rg}\llbracket w \rrbracket]$  are called multi-attributes in  $\llbracket o_{b.ma} \rrbracket$ . Let  $O_{b.ma}$  be a set of multi-attributes. The elements of  $[o_{b.ma}\ w \leftarrow_* v_l\llbracket w \rrbracket]$  are called values in  $\llbracket o_{b.ma} \rrbracket$ . The sequence  $u_{p.*}$  is called a sequence in  $\llbracket o_{b.ma} \rrbracket$  and denoted by  $[sequence\ in\ o_{b.ma}]$ . An object  $v_l$  is a value in  $\llbracket a_{tt.m}, o_{b.ma} \rrbracket$  if  $o_{b.ma} = (u_{p.*.1}, a_{tt.m} : v_l, u_{p.*.2})$  for some  $u_{p.*.1}$  and  $u_{p.*.2}$ .

An object  $o_{b.ma}$  is an attribute object if the elements of  $[o_{b.ma}\ w \leftarrow_* a_{rg}\llbracket w \rrbracket]$  are pairwise distinct. Let  $O_{b.a}$  be a set of attribute objects. The multi-attributes in  $\llbracket o_{b.a} \rrbracket$  are called attributes in  $\llbracket o_{b.a} \rrbracket$ . Let  $A_{tt}$  be a set of objects called attributes.

Let  $[function\ o_{b.a}]$ ,  $[o_{b.a}\ a_{tt}]$ , and  $[support\ o_{b.a}]$  denote  $\llbracket [sequence\ in\ o_{b.a}] \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket [function\ o_{b.a}]\ a_{tt} \rrbracket$ , and  $[support\ [function\ o_{b.a}]]$ .

Let  $[seq-to-att-obj\ s_q]$  denote  $(1 : [s_q . 1], \dots, [len\ s_q] : [s_q . [len\ s_q]])$ . Let  $o_{b.a} =_{st} (1 : v_{l.1}, \dots, n_t : v_{l.n_t})$ . Then  $[att-obj-to-seq\ o_{b.a}]$  denote  $(v_{l.1}, \dots, v_{l.n_t})$ .

## 3. Basic definitions of the theory of conceptual configuration systems

### 3.1. Information query systems

Let  $S_{tt}$  be a state of objects called states. An object  $s_{s.q.i}$  of the form  $(S_{tt}, O_{b.s}, Q_r, O_{b.q}, A_{ns}, O_{b.a}, value)$  is an information query system if  $S_{tt}, O_{b.s}, Q_r, O_{b.q}, A_{ns}$  and  $O_{b.a}$  are nonempty sets,  $S_{tt} \subseteq O_{b.s}, Q_r \subseteq O_{b.q}, und \in A_{ns}, A_{ns} \subseteq O_{b.a}, value \in Q_r \times S_{tt} \rightarrow A_{ns}$ , and for all  $q_r \in Q_r$  there exists  $s_{tt} \in S_{tt}$  such that  $[value\ q_r\ s_{tt}] \neq und$ . Let  $S_{s.q.i}$  be a set of information query systems.

The elements of  $S_{tt}, O_{b.s}, Q_r, O_{b.q}, A_{ns}$  and  $O_{b.a}$  are called states, state objects, information queries, information query objects, answers and answer objects in  $\llbracket s_{s.q.i} \rrbracket$ , respectively. The function  $value$  is called a query interpretation in  $\llbracket s_{s.q.i} \rrbracket$ . An object  $o_{b.s}$  is a proper state object if  $o_{b.s} \notin S_{tt}$ . An object  $o_{b.q}$  is a proper query object if  $o_{b.q} \notin Q_r$ . An object  $o_{b.a}$  is a proper answer object if  $o_{b.a} \notin A_{ns}$ .

As a through illustrative example of the IQS modelled by CCSs we use the geometric system that includes the following proper state objects:

- kinds of geometric spaces (Euclidean, Riemannian, Lobachevskian and so on);
- kinds of geometric figures (triangles, rectangles, cubes and so on);
- numerical characteristics of geometric figures (length, area, volume and so on);
- units of measurement of numerical characteristics (inches, centimeters, metres and so on);
- values of numerical characteristics represented by real numbers;
- numeral systems for representing values of numerical characteristics (binary, octal, decimal and so on);
- dimensions of geometric spaces represented by natural numbers;
- named geometric figures represented by elements of the set  $F_g$ ).

A state of the geometric system is a set of relations between proper state objects. For example, the relation  $\{figure : f_g, kind : triangle, space : Euclidean\}$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  means that  $f_g$  is a triangle in Euclidean space in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ , the relation  $\{figure : f_g, characteristic : perimeter, value : 20\}$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  means that perimeter of  $f_g$  equals 20 in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ , and the relation  $\{kind : cube, space : Euclidean, characteristic : volume, unit : inch\}$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  means volume of cubes in Euclidean space measured in inches in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

The possible queries in the geometric system can be “area of  $f_g$ ”, “ $f_g$  is a triangle” and “unit of measurement of perimeter of  $f_g$ ” returning a number, boolean value and unit of measurement as answers.

### 3.2. Atoms

A set  $A_{tm}$  is a set of atoms if  $I_{nt} \cup \{true, und\} \subseteq A_{tm}$ . Structures of CCSs are constructed from atoms. Therefore, they are implicitly defined in  $\llbracket A_{tm} \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $F_g \subseteq A_{tm}$ .

### 3.3. Elements

Elements are basic structures of CCSs. They model query objects, answer objects and some proper state objects of IQSs. Let  $E_l$  be a set of objects called elements. An object  $e_l$  of the forms  $a_{tm}$ ,  $e_{l.(*)}$ ,  $e_{l.1} : e_{l.2}$ , or  $e_{l.1} :: e_{l.2}$  is called an element.

An element  $e_{l.(*)}$  of the form  $(e_{l.*})$  is called a sequence element. The object  $e_{l.*}$  is called a sequence in  $\llbracket e_{l.(*)} \rrbracket$  and denoted by  $[sequence\ in\ e_{l.(*)}]$ . The element  $()$  is called an empty element.

An element  $u_{p.e}$  of the form  $a_{tt} : v_l$  is called an element update. Let  $U_{p.e}$  be a set of element updates. The elements  $a_{tt}$  and  $v_l$  are called an attribute and value in  $\llbracket u_{p.e} \rrbracket$ .

Let  $S_{rt}$  be a set of objects called sorts. An element  $e_{l.s}$  of the form  $e_l : s_{rt}$  is called a sorted element. Let  $E_{l.s}$  be a set of sorted elements. The elements  $e_l$  and  $s_{rt}$  are called an element and sort in  $\llbracket e_l \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_{xc}$  of the form  $e_l : exc$  is called an exception. Let  $E_{xc}$  be a set of exceptions. The element  $e_l$  is called a value in  $\llbracket e_{xc} \rrbracket$ . Thus, the sort  $exc$  specifies exceptions. Exceptions in CCSs play the role that is analogous to the role of exceptions in programming languages. An element  $e_l$  is abnormal if  $e_l \in E_{xc}$ , or  $e_l = und$ . Let  $E_{l.ab}$  be a set of abnormal elements. An element  $e_l$  is normal if  $e_l$  is not abnormal. Let  $E_{l.n}$  be a set of normal elements.

An element  $e_{l.ma}$  is a multi-attribute element if  $e_l \in O_{b.ma}$ . Let  $E_{l.ma}$  be a set of multi-attribute elements. An element  $e_{l.a}$  is an attribute element if  $e_l \in O_{b.a}$ . Let  $E_{l.a}$  be a set of attribute elements.

$\oplus$  The element  $(f_g, is, triangle)$  means that  $f_g$  is a triangle.

### 3.4. Conceptuals

Conceptuals are atomic conceptual structures of CCSs. Conceptual structures of CCSs are constructed from conceptuals. Conceptuals model some proper state objects of IQSs. An attribute element  $c_{ncpl}$  is a conceptual if  $[support\ c_{ncpl}] \subseteq I_{nt}$ . Let  $C_{ncpl}$  be a set of conceptuals. An element of the form  $i_{nt} : e_l$  is called a conceptual update. Let  $U_{p.c}$  be a set of conceptual updates.

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncpl} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ . Then the following properties hold:

- $c_{ncpl}$  is a conceptual;
- $-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean$  and  $3 : 2$  are conceptual updates;
- $c_{ncpl}$  models the area (the attribute  $-1$ ) of the triangle (the attribute  $1$ )  $f_g$  (the attribute  $0$ ) in three-dimensional (the attribute  $3$ ) Euclidean (the attribute  $2$ ) space, measured in inches (the attribute  $-2$ ) in the decimal system (the attribute  $-3$ ).

### 3.5. Conceptual states

Conceptual states are conceptual structures of CCSs specifying values of conceptu- als. They model some proper state objects of IQSs. An attribute element  $s_{tt}$  is a conceptual state if  $[support\ s_{tt}] \subseteq C_{ncpl}$ . Thus,  $s_{tt}$  can reference to either a state of a IQS or a conceptual state of a QTS depending on the context.

A function  $value \in C_{ncpl} \times S_{tt} \rightarrow E_l$  is a conceptual interpretation if  $[value\ c_{ncpl}\ s_{tt}] = [s_{tt}\ c_{ncpl}]$ . The element  $[value\ c_{ncpl}\ s_{tt}]$  is called a value in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncpl} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$  and  $s_{tt} = (c_{ncpl} : 3)$ . Then the following properties hold:

- $[value\ c_{ncpl}\ s_{tt}] = 3$ ;
- $3$  is the value in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- area of the triangle  $f_g$  in two-dimensional Euclidean space equals  $3$  inches in the decimal system in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

### 3.6. Conceptual configurations

Conceptual configurations are conceptual structures of CCSs partitioning states into named substates. They model states of IQSs. Let  $N_m$  be a set of objects called names. An attribute element  $c_{nf}$  is a conceptual configuration if  $[image\ c_{nf}] \subseteq S_{tt}$ . Let  $C_{nf}$  be a set of configurations. An element  $n_m$  is a name in  $\llbracket c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if  $n_m \in [support\ c_{nf}]$ . An element  $n_m$  is a name in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if  $[c_{nf}\ n_m] = s_{tt}$ . An element  $s_{tt}$  is a substate in  $\llbracket c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if  $s_{tt} \in [image\ c_{nf}]$ . An element  $s_{tt}$  is a substate in  $\llbracket n_m, c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if  $[c_{nf}\ n_m] = s_{tt}$ . A substate  $s_{tt}$  is unnamed in  $\llbracket c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if  $[c_{nf}\ ()] = s_{tt}$ . The element  $()$  is called an unnamed substate specifier.

A function  $value \in C_{ncpl} \times E_l \times C_{nf} \rightarrow E_l$  is a conceptual interpretation if  $[value\ c_{ncpl}\ n_m$

$c_{nf}] = [value\ c_{ncpl}\ [c_{nf}\ n_m]]$ . The element  $[value\ c_{ncpl}\ n_m\ c_{nf}]$  is called a value in  $[[c_{ncpl}, n_m, c_{nf}]]$ .

An element  $s_{tt.n}$  of the form  $s_{tt} :: state :: n_m$  is called a named state. Let  $S_{tt.n}$  be a set of named states. The elements  $s_{tt}$  and  $n_m$  are called a state and name in  $[[s_{tt.n}]]$ . The element  $s_{tt}$  references to  $s_{tt} :: state :: ()$  in the context of named states.

An element  $c_{ncpl.n}$  of the form  $c_{ncpl} :: state :: n_m$  is called a named conceptual. Let  $C_{ncpl.n}$  be a set of named conceptuais. It specifies the conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  in the state with the name  $n_m$ . The elements  $c_{ncpl}$  and  $n_m$  are called a conceptual and name in  $[[c_{ncpl.n}]]$ . The element  $c_{ncpl}$  references to  $c_{ncpl} :: state :: ()$  in the context of named conceptuais.

A function  $value \in C_{ncpl.n} \times C_{nf} \rightarrow E_l$  is a conceptual interpretation if  $[value\ c_{ncpl.n}\ c_{nf}] = [value\ c_{ncpl}[[c_{ncpl.n}]]\ n_m[[c_{ncpl.n}]]\ c_{ncpl}]$ . The element  $[value\ c_{ncpl.n}\ c_{nf}]$  is called a value in  $[[c_{ncpl.n}, c_{nf}]]$ .

### 3.7. Substitutions, patterns, pattern specifications, instances

A function  $s_b \in E_l \rightarrow E_{l.*}$  is called a substitution. Let  $S_b$  be a set of substitutions. A function  $subst \in S_b \times E_{l.*} \rightarrow E_{l.*}$  is a substitution function if it is defined as follows (the first proper rule is applied):

- if  $e_l \in [support\ s_b]$ , then  $[subst\ s_b\ e_l] = [s_b\ e_l]$ ;
- $[subst\ s_b\ a_{tm}] = a_{tm}$ ;
- $[subst\ s_b\ l_b : e_l] = [subst\ s_b\ l_b] : [subst\ s_b\ e_l]$ ;
- $[subst\ s_b\ e_l :: nosubst] = e_l$ ;
- $[subst\ s_b\ e_l :: (nosubstexcept\ e_{l.*})] = [subst\ [narrow\ s_b\ \{e_{l.*}\}]\ e_l]$ ;
- $[subst\ s_b\ e_l :: s_{rt}] = [subst\ s_b\ e_l] :: [subst\ s_b\ s_{rt}]$ ;
- $[subst\ s_b\ (e_{l.*})] = ([e_{l.*}\ w \leftarrow_* [subst\ s_b\ w]])$ ;
- $[subst\ s_b\ e_{l.*}] = [e_{l.*}\ w \leftarrow_* [subst\ s_b\ w]]$ .

The sort *nosubst* specifies the elements to which the substitution  $s_b$  is not applied. The sort *(nosubstexcept  $e_{l.*}$ )* specifies the elements to which the narrowing of the substitution  $s_b$  to the set  $e_{l.*}$  is applied. An element  $p_t$  is a pattern in  $[[e_l, s_b]]$  if  $[subst\ s_b\ p_t] = e_l$ . Let  $P_t$  be a set of patterns. An element  $i_{nst}$  is an instance in  $[[p_t, s_b]]$  if  $[subst\ s_b\ p_t] = i_{nst}$ . Let  $I_{nst}$  be a set of instances.

Let  $V_r$  and  $V_{r.s}$  be sets of objects called element variables and sequence variables, respectively. An element  $p_{t.s}$  of the form  $(p_t, (v_{r.*}), (v_{r.s.*}))$  is a pattern specification if  $\{v_{r.s.*}\} \cap \{v_{r.*}\} = \emptyset$ , and the elements of  $\{v_{r.*}\} \cup \{v_{r.s.*}\}$  are pairwise distinct. Let  $P_{t.s}$  be a set of pattern specifications.

The objects  $p_t$ ,  $(v_{r.*})$ , and  $(v_{r.s.*})$  are called a pattern, element variable specification, and sequence variable specification in  $\llbracket p_{t.s} \rrbracket$ . The elements of  $v_{r.*}$  and  $v_{r.s.*}$  are called element pattern variables and sequence pattern variables in  $\llbracket p_{t.s} \rrbracket$ , respectively.

An element  $i_{nst}$  is an instance in  $\llbracket p_{t.s}, s_b \rrbracket$  if  $[support\ s_b] = \{v_{r.*}\}$ ,  $[s_b\ v_r] \in E_l$  for  $v_r \in \{v_{r.*}\} \setminus \{v_{r.s.*}\}$ ,  $[s_b\ v_r] \in E_{l.*}$  for  $v_r \in \{v_{r.s.*}\}$ , and  $i_{nst}$  is an instance in  $\llbracket p_t, s_b \rrbracket$ . An element  $i_{nst}$  is an instance in  $\llbracket p_{t.s} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $s_b$  such that  $i_{nst}$  is an instance in  $\llbracket p_{t.s}, s_b \rrbracket$ .

A function  $m_t \in E_l \times P_{t.s} \rightarrow S_b$  is a match if the following property holds:

- if  $[m_t\ e_l\ p_{t.s}] = s_b$ , then  $e_l$  is an instance in  $\llbracket p_{t.s}, s_b \rrbracket$ .

An element  $i_{nst}$  is an instance in  $\llbracket p_{t.s}, m_t, s_b \rrbracket$  if  $[m_t\ i_{nst}\ p_{t.s}] = s_b$ . An element  $i_{nst}$  is an instance in  $\llbracket p_{t.s}, m_t \rrbracket$  if there exists  $s_b$  such that  $i_{nst}$  is an instance in  $\llbracket p_{t.s}, m_t, s_b \rrbracket$ .

### 3.8. The element interpretation

Queries and answers of a IQS is modelled by elements, and the query interpretation of the IQS is modelled by the element interpretation  $value \in E_l \times C_{nf} \rightarrow E_l$  based on atomic element interpretations, element definitions and the element interpretation order.

The special variable  $conf :: in$  references to the current configuration in the definitions below.

An object  $i_{ntr.a}$  of the form  $(p_t, (v_{r.*}), (v_{r.s.*}), f_n)$  is an atomic element interpretation if  $(p_t, (v_{r.*}), (v_{r.s.*}))$  is a pattern specification,  $conf :: in \notin \{v_{r.*}\} \cup \{v_{r.s.*}\}$ ,  $f_n \in S_b \rightarrow E_l$ ,  $[support\ f_n] = \{s_b : [support\ s_b] = \{v_{r.*}\} \cup \{v_{r.s.*}\} \cup \{conf :: in\}, [s_b\ v_r] \in E_l \text{ for } v_r \in \{v_{r.*}\}, \text{ and } [s_b\ v_r] \in E_{l.*} \text{ for } v_r \in \{v_{r.s.*}\}\}$ . Let  $I_{ntr.a}$  be a set of atomic element interpretations.

The objects  $p_t$ ,  $(v_{r.*})$ ,  $(v_{r.s.*})$ , and  $f_n$  are called a pattern, element variable specification, sequence variable specification, and value in  $\llbracket i_{ntr.a} \rrbracket$ . The elements of  $v_{r.*}$  and  $v_{r.s.*}$  are called element pattern variables and sequence pattern variables in  $\llbracket i_{ntr.a} \rrbracket$ , respectively.

A function  $i_{ntr.a.s} \in E_l \rightarrow I_{ntr.a}$  is called an atomic element interpretation specification if  $[support\ i_{ntr.a.s}]$  is finite. An interpretation  $i_{ntr.a}$  is an atomic element interpretation in  $\llbracket i_{ntr.a.s} \rrbracket$  if  $[i_{ntr.a.s}\ n_m] = i_{ntr.a}$  for some  $n_m \in E_l$ . An element  $n_m$  is a name in  $\llbracket i_{ntr.a}, i_{ntr.a.s} \rrbracket$  if  $[i_{ntr.a.s}\ n_m] = i_{ntr.a}$ . An element  $n_m$  a name in  $\llbracket i_{ntr.a.s} \rrbracket$  if  $n_m$  is a name in  $\llbracket i_{ntr.a}, i_{ntr.a.s} \rrbracket$  for some  $i_{ntr.a}$ .

An element  $d_f$  of the form  $(p_t, (v_{r.*}), (v_{r.s.*}), b_d)$  is an element definition if  $(p_t, (v_{r.*}), (v_{r.s.*}))$  is a pattern specification, and  $conf :: in \notin \{v_{r.*}\} \cup \{v_{r.s.*}\}$ . Let  $D_f$  be a set of element definitions.

The objects  $p_t$ ,  $(v_{r.*})$ ,  $(v_{r.s.*})$  and  $b_d$  are called a pattern, element variable specification, sequence variable specification and body in  $\llbracket d_f \rrbracket$ . The elements of  $v_{r.*}$  and  $v_{r.s.*}$  are called element pattern variables and sequence pattern variables in  $\llbracket d_f \rrbracket$ , respectively.

An attribute element  $d_{f.s}$  is called an element definition specification if  $[support\ d_{f.s}] \subseteq E_l$ , and  $[image\ d_{f.s}] \subseteq D_f$ . A definition  $d_f$  is an element definition in  $\llbracket d_{f.s} \rrbracket$  if  $[d_{f.s}\ n_m] = d_f$  for some  $n_m \in E_l$ . An element  $n_m$  is a name in  $\llbracket d_f, d_{f.s} \rrbracket$  if  $[d_{f.s}\ n_m] = d_f$ . An element  $n_m$  a name in  $\llbracket d_{f.s} \rrbracket$  if  $n_m$  is a name in  $\llbracket d_f, d_{f.s} \rrbracket$  for some  $d_f$ .

Let  $[support\ i_{ntr.a.s}] \cap [support\ d_{f.s}] = \emptyset$ .

An element  $o_{rd.intr}$  of the form  $(n_{m.*})$  is called an element interpretation order in  $\llbracket i_{ntr.a.s}, d_{f.s} \rrbracket$  if  $\{n_{m.*}\} \subseteq [support\ i_{ntr.a.s}] \cup [support\ d_{f.s}]$ , and the elements of  $n_{m.*}$  are pairwise distinct. It specifies the order of application of atomic element interpretations and element definitions to the element to be interpreted.

The information about the element definition specification and element interpretation order of configurations is stored in the substate *interpretation* of the configurations. The conceptals  $(0 : definitions) :: state :: interpretation$  and  $(0 : order) :: state :: interpretation$  define the element definition specification and element interpretation order of the configurations, respectively.

An element  $c_{nf}$  is consistent with  $(i_{ntr.a.s}, d_{f.s}, o_{rd.intr})$  if the following properties hold:

- if  $[support\ i_{ntr.a.s}] \cap [support\ [c_{nf}\ (0 : definitions) :: state :: interpretation]] = \emptyset$ ;
- $d_{f.s} \subseteq [c_{nf}\ (0 : definitions) :: state :: interpretation]$ ;
- if  $n_{m.1} \prec_{[o_{rd.intr}]} n_{m.2}$ , and  $n_{m.1}, n_{m.2} \in [c_{nf}\ (0 : order) :: state :: interpretation]$ , then  $n_{m.1} \prec_{[[c_{nf}\ (0:order)::state::interpretation]]} n_{m.2}$ .

A function  $value \in E_l \times C_{nf} \rightarrow E_l$  is an element interpretation in  $\llbracket i_{ntr.a.s}, d_{f.s}, o_{rd.intr}, m_t \rrbracket$  if  $[value\ e_l\ c_{nf}] = [value\ e_l\ c_{nf}\ [c_{nf}\ (0 : order) :: state :: interpretation]]$ . It specifies interpretation of elements in the context of configurations. The element  $[value\ e_l\ c_{nf}]$  is called a value in  $\llbracket e_l, c_{nf} \rrbracket$ .

The auxiliary function  $value \in E_l \times C_{nf} \times N_{m.(*)} \rightarrow E_l$  is defined by the following rules (the first proper rule is applied):

- if  $c_{nf}$  is not consistent with  $(i_{ntr.a.s}, d_{f.s}, o_{rd.intr})$ , then  $[value\ e_l\ c_{nf}\ n_{m.(*)}] = und$ ;
- if  $i_{ntr.a} = [i_{ntr.a.s}\ n_m]$ ,  $e_l$  is an instance in  $\llbracket p_{t.s} \llbracket i_{ntr.a} \rrbracket, m_t, s_b \rrbracket$ , and  $[f_n \llbracket i_{ntr.a} \rrbracket\ s_b \cup (conf :: in : c_{nf})] \neq und$ , then  $[value\ e_l\ c_{nf}\ (n_m\ n_{m.*})] = [f_n \llbracket i_{ntr.a} \rrbracket\ [s_b\ conf : c_{nf}]]$ ;
- if  $d_f = [[c_{nf}\ (0 : definitions) :: state :: interpretation]\ n_m]$ ,  $e_l$  is an instance in

$\llbracket p_{t.s} \llbracket d_f \rrbracket, m_t, s_b \rrbracket$ , and  $\llbracket value \ [subst \ s_b \cup (conf :: in : c_{nf}) \ b_d \llbracket d_f \rrbracket] \ c_{nf} \rrbracket \neq und$ , then  $\llbracket value \ e_l \ c_{nf} \ (n_m \ n_{m.*}) \rrbracket = \llbracket value \ [subst \ [s_b \ conf : c_{nf}] \ b_d \llbracket d_f \rrbracket] \ c_{nf} \rrbracket$ ;

- $\llbracket value \ e_l \ c_{nf} \ (n_m \ n_{m.*}) \rrbracket = \llbracket value \ e_l \ c_{nf} \ (n_{m.*}) \rrbracket$ ;
- $\llbracket value \ e_l \ c_{nf} \ () \rrbracket = und$ .

### 3.9. Satisfiable and valid elements

An element  $e_l$  is satisfiable in  $\llbracket (v_{r.*}), c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $s_b$  such that  $\llbracket support \ s_b \rrbracket = \{v_{r.*}\}$ , and  $\llbracket value \ [subst \ s_b \ e_l] \ c_{nf} \rrbracket \neq und$ .

An element  $e_l$  is valid in  $\llbracket (v_{r.*}), c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if  $\llbracket value \ [subst \ s_b \ e_l] \ c_{nf} \rrbracket \neq und$  for each  $s_b$  such that  $\llbracket support \ s_b \rrbracket = \{v_{r.*}\}$ .

### 3.10. Conceptual configuration systems

An object  $s_{s.c.c}$  of the form  $(A_{tm}, i_{ntr.a.s}, d_{f.s}, o_{rd.intr}, m_t)$  is called a conceptual configuration system if  $i_{ntr.a.s}$ ,  $d_{f.s}$ ,  $o_{rd.intr}$  and  $m_t$  are an atomic element interpretation specification, element definition specification element interpretation order and match in  $\llbracket A_{tm} \rrbracket$ , and  $\llbracket support \ i_{ntr.a.s} \rrbracket \cap \llbracket support \ d_{f.s} \rrbracket = \emptyset$ . Let  $S_{s.c.c}$  be a set of conceptual configuration systems.

The elements of  $A_{tm}$ ,  $E_l \llbracket A_{tm} \rrbracket$ ,  $C_{ncpl} \llbracket A_{tm} \rrbracket$ ,  $S_{tt} \llbracket A_{tm} \rrbracket$  and  $C_{nf} \llbracket A_{tm} \rrbracket$  are called atoms, elements, conceptals, states and configurations in  $\llbracket s_{s.t.c} \rrbracket$ .

The objects  $i_{ntr.a.s}$ ,  $d_{f.s}$ ,  $o_{rd.intr}$  and  $m_t$  are called atomic element interpretation specification, element definition specification, element interpretation order and match in  $\llbracket s_{s.c.c} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is interpretable in  $\llbracket s_{s.c.c} \rrbracket$  if there exist  $n_m$  such that  $e_l$  is an instance in  $\llbracket p_{t.s} \llbracket [i_{ntr.a.s} \ n_m] \rrbracket, m_t \rrbracket$ , or  $e_l$  is an instance in  $\llbracket p_{t.s} \llbracket [d_{f.s} \ n_m] \rrbracket, m_t \rrbracket$ .

### 3.11. Conceptual information query models

An object  $m_{dl.q.i.c}$  of the form  $(s_{s.c.c}, r_{pr.s}, r_{pr.q}, r_{pr.a})$  is a conceptual information query model in  $\llbracket s_{s.q.i} \rrbracket$  if  $r_{pr.s}, r_{pr.q}, r_{pr.a} \in F_n$ ,  $\llbracket support \ r_{pr.s} \rrbracket = O_{b.s} \llbracket s_{s.q.i} \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket image \ r_{pr.s} \rrbracket \subseteq E_l \llbracket s_{s.c.c} \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket image \ r_{pr.s} \ S_{tt} \llbracket s_{s.q.i} \rrbracket \rrbracket \subseteq C_{nf} \llbracket s_{s.c.c} \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket support \ r_{pr.q} \rrbracket = O_{b.q} \llbracket s_{s.q.i} \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket image \ r_{pr.q} \rrbracket \subseteq E_l \llbracket s_{s.c.c} \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket support \ r_{pr.a} \rrbracket = O_{b.a} \llbracket s_{s.q.i} \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket image \ r_{pr.a} \rrbracket \subseteq E_l \llbracket s_{s.c.c} \rrbracket$ , and  $\llbracket r_{pr.a} \ [value \ q_r \ s_{tt}] \rrbracket = \llbracket value \ [r_{pr.q} \ q_r] \ [r_{pr.s} \ s_{tt}] \rrbracket$ . Let  $M_{dl.q.i.c}$  be a set of conceptual information query models.

The system  $s_{s.c.c}$  is called a conceptual configuration system in  $\llbracket m_{dl.q.i.c} \rrbracket$ . The functions  $r_{pr.s}$ ,  $r_{pr.q}$  and  $r_{pr.a}$  are called a state representation, query representation and answer representation in  $\llbracket m_{dl.q.i.c} \rrbracket$ , respectively.

A system  $s_{s.q.i}$  is conceptually modelled in  $\llbracket s_{s.c.c} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $m_{dl.q.i.c}$  such that  $s_{s.c.c} = s_{s.c.c} \llbracket m_{dl.q.i.c} \rrbracket$ , and  $m_{dl.q.i.c}$  is a conceptual query model in  $\llbracket s_{s.q.i} \rrbracket$ . The set  $[image\ r_{pr.s}]$  is called an ontology in  $\llbracket s_{s.q.i}, m_{dl.q.i.c} \rrbracket$ . It includes conceptual structures of  $s_{s.c.c} \llbracket m_{dl.q.i.c} \rrbracket$  representing the conceptual structure of state objects in  $\llbracket s_{s.q.i} \rrbracket$ .

Let  $r_{pr.s}^-$ ,  $r_{pr.q}^-$  and  $r_{pr.a}^-$  denote the inverse functions of  $r_{pr.s}$ ,  $r_{pr.q}$  and  $r_{pr.a}$  in the case of their existence.

### 3.12. Extensions

A system  $s_{s.q.i.1}$  is an extension of  $s_{s.q.i.2}$  if  $s_t \llbracket s_{s.q.i.1} \rrbracket \subseteq s_t \llbracket s_{s.q.i.2} \rrbracket$  for each  $s_t \in \{S_{it}, O_{b.s}, Q_r, O_{b.q}, A_{ns}, O_{b.a}, value\}$ .

A system  $s_{s.c.c.1}$  is an extension of  $s_{s.c.c.2}$  if  $o_b \llbracket s_{s.c.c.1} \rrbracket = o_b \llbracket s_{s.c.c.2} \rrbracket$  for each  $o_b \in \{A_{tm}, m_t\}$ ,  $s_t \llbracket s_{s.c.c.1} \rrbracket \subseteq s_t \llbracket s_{s.c.c.2} \rrbracket$  for each  $s_t \in \{i_{ntr.a.s}, d_{f.s}\}$ , and the following property hold:

- if  $n_{m.1} \prec_{\llbracket ord.intr \llbracket s_{s.c.c.1} \rrbracket \rrbracket} n_{m.2}$ , and  $n_{m.1}, n_{m.2} \in ord.intr \llbracket s_{s.c.c.2} \rrbracket$ , then  $n_{m.1} \prec_{\llbracket ord.intr \llbracket s_{s.c.c.2} \rrbracket \rrbracket} n_{m.2}$ .

A CCS  $l_n$  is a language of CCSs if the conceptual structures (atoms, elements, conceptuials and so on) of  $l_n$  is syntactically defined.

## 4. Structure of conceptuials

### 4.1. Elements of conceptuials

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l = [c_{ncpl}\ i_{nt}]$  and  $e_l \neq und$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncpl} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ . Then  $10, inch, area, f_g, triangle, Euclidean, 2$  are elements in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket -3 \rrbracket, \llbracket -2 \rrbracket, \llbracket -1 \rrbracket, \llbracket 0 \rrbracket, \llbracket 1 \rrbracket, \llbracket 2 \rrbracket, \llbracket 3 \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, i_{nt} \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncpl} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ . Then  $10, inch, area, f_g, triangle, Euclidean, 2$  are elements in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ .

### 4.2. Orders of conceptuials in the context of elements

A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, e_l \rrbracket$  if  $e_l = [c_{ncpl}\ i_{nt}]$  and  $e_l \neq und$ . Let  $O_{rd}$  be a set of objects called orders.

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncpl} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ .

Then  $-3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3$  are orders in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket 10 \rrbracket, \llbracket inch \rrbracket, \llbracket area \rrbracket, \llbracket f_g \rrbracket, \llbracket triangle \rrbracket, \llbracket Euclidean \rrbracket, \llbracket 3 \rrbracket$ .

A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, element : \rrbracket$  if there exists  $e_l$  such that  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, e_l \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncpl} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ . Then  $-3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3$  are orders in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, element : \rrbracket$ .

### 4.3. Properties of elements of conceptuials

*Proposition 1.* The element *und* is not an element in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ .

*Proof.* This follows from the definition of element in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ .  $\square$

*Proposition 2.* The number of elements in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  is finite.

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that  $[support\ c_{ncpl}]$  is finite and *und* is not an element in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ .  $\square$

### 4.4. Properties of orders of conceptuials in the context of elements

*Proposition 3.* The number of orders in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, e_l \llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket \rrbracket$  is finite.

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that  $[support\ c_{ncpl}]$  is finite and *und* is not an element in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ .  $\square$

*Proposition 4.* The number of orders in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, element : \rrbracket$  is finite.

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that  $[support\ c_{ncpl}]$  is finite.  $\square$

### 4.5. Kinds of orders of conceptuials in the context of elements

An order  $o_{rd} \llbracket c_{ncpl}, e_l \rrbracket$  is minimal in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, e_l \rrbracket$  if  $i_{nt}$  is not an order in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, e_l \rrbracket$  for each  $i_{nt}$  such that  $i_{nt} < o_{rd}$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncpl} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ . Then  $-2$  is a minimal order in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, inch \rrbracket$ .

An order  $o_{rd} \llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  is minimal in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, element : \rrbracket$  if  $i_{nt}$  is not an order in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, \hat{e}_l \rrbracket$  for each  $i_{nt}$  such that  $i_{nt} < o_{rd}$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncpl} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ . Then  $-3$  is a minimal order in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, element : \rrbracket$ .

An order  $o_{rd}[[c_{ncpl}, e_l]]$  is maximal in  $[[c_{ncpl}, e_l]]$  if  $i_{nt}$  is not an order in  $[[c_{ncpl}, e_l]]$  for each  $i_{nt}$  such that  $o_{rd} < i_{nt}$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncpl} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 10)$ . Then 2 is a maximal order in  $[[c_{ncpl}, Euclidean]]$ .

An order  $o_{rd}[[c_{ncpl}]]$  is maximal in  $[[c_{ncpl}, element :]]$  if  $i_{nt}$  is not an order in  $[[c_{ncpl}, \hat{e}_l]]$  for each  $i_{nt}$  such that  $o_{rd} < i_{nt}$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncpl} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ . Then 3 is a maximal order in  $[[c_{ncpl}, element :]]$ .

## 4.6. Kinds of elements of conceptals

An element  $e_l$  is minimal in  $[[c_{ncpl}]]$  if there exists  $o_{rd}[[c_{ncpl}, e_l]]$  such that  $o_{rd}$  is minimal in  $[[c_{ncpl}, element :]]$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncpl} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ . Then 10 is a minimal element in  $[[c_{ncpl}]]$ .

An element  $e_l$  is maximal in  $[[c_{ncpl}]]$  if there exists  $o_{rd}[[c_{ncpl}, e_l]]$  such that  $o_{rd}$  is a maximal order in  $[[c_{ncpl}, element :]]$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncpl} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ . Then 2 is a maximal element in  $[[c_{ncpl}]]$ .

An element  $e_l$  is null in  $[[c_{ncpl}]]$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $[[c_{ncpl}, 0]]$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncpl} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ . Then  $f_g$  is null in  $[[c_{ncpl}]]$ .

## 5. Structure of conceptual states

### 5.1. Conceptuals

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a conceptual in  $[[s_{tt}]]$  if  $[value\ c_{ncpl}\ s_{tt}] \neq und.$

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a conceptual in  $[[c_{nf}]]$  if  $c_{ncpl}[[c_{ncpl.n}]]$  is a conceptual in  $[[[c_{nf}\ n_m\ [c_{ncpl.n}]]]]$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a conceptual in  $[[c_{nf}]]$  if there exists  $n_m$  such that  $c_{ncpl} :: state :: n_m$  is a conceptual in  $[[c_{nf}]]$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncpl} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$  and  $[support\ s_{tt}] = \{c_{ncpl}\}$ . Then  $c_{ncpl}$  is a conceptual in  $[[s_{tt}]]$ .

## 5.2. Elements, orders, concretizations

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $c_{ncpl}$  is a conceptual in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  and  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, i_{nt} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{nf} [name\ in\ c_{ncpl.n}], i_{nt}, [conceptual\ in\ c_{ncpl.n}] \rrbracket$ .

A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, s_{tt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, s_{tt}, i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, c_{nf}, i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

## 5.3. Kinds of elements

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $c_{ncpl.n}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, i_{nt} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, i_{nt} \rrbracket$ .

## 5.4. Kinds of orders

A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, i_{nt} \rrbracket$ . A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, i_{nt} \rrbracket$ .

A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, element : \rrbracket$  if there exists  $e_l$  such that  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ . A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, element : \rrbracket$  if there exists  $e_l$  such that  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, c_{nf} \rrbracket$ .

## 5.5. Kinds of concretizations

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, element : \rrbracket$  if there exists  $e_l$  such that  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, element : \rrbracket$  if there exists  $e_l$  such that  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, c_{nf} \rrbracket$ .

## 5.6. Example

- $\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 8, -2 : cm, -1 : volume, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : cube, 2 : Lobachevskian, 3 : 3)$ , and  $[\text{support } s_{tt}] = \{c_{ncl.1}, c_{ncl.2}\}$ . Then the following properties hold:
- 10, 8, *inch*, *cm*, *area*, *volume*,  $e_{l.g.1}$ ,  $e_{l.g.2}$ , *trianle*, *cube*, *Euclidean*, *Lobachevskian*, 3, 2 are elements in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
  - -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3 are orders in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, element : \rrbracket$ ;
  - $c_{ncl.1}$ ,  $c_{ncl.2}$  are concretizations in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, element : \rrbracket$ .

## 5.7. Properties of elements

*Proposition 5.* For all  $e_l$  and  $i_{nt}$  there exist  $s_{tt}$  and  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ .

*Proof.* We define  $s_{tt}$  and  $c_{ncpl}$  as follows:  $[c_{ncpl} i_{nt}] = e_l$  and  $[s_{tt} c_{ncpl}] \neq und$ . Then  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ .  $\square$

## 6. Classification of elements of states

Elements in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  are subclassified into individuals, concepts and attributes.

### 6.1. Individuals

Individuals in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  model elements in  $\llbracket s_{s.q.i} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an individual in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, 0, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is an individual in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, 0, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

- $\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncpl} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$  and  $s_{tt} = (c_{ncpl} : 3)$ . Then  $f_g$  is an individual in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an individual in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is an individual in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is an individual in  $\llbracket c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $c_{ncpl.n}$  such that  $e_l$  is an individual in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

- $\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 8, -2 : cm, -1 : volume, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : cube, 2 : Lobachevskian, 3 : 3)$ , and  $s_{tt} = (c_{ncl.1} : 3, c_{ncl.2} : 4)$ . Then  $e_{l.g.1}$  and  $e_{l.g.2}$  are individuals in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

### 6.2. Concepts

Concepts in  $[[s_{tt}]]$  generalize models of the usual concepts in  $[[s_{s.q.i}]]$  which are interpreted as sets of elements in  $[[s_{s.q.i}]]$ .

An element  $e_l$  is a concept in  $[[s_{tt}, n_t, c_{ncpl}]]$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $[[s_{tt}, n_t, c_{ncpl}]]$ . A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $[[e_l, s_{tt}, c_{ncpl}]]$  in  $[[concept : e_l, s_{tt}, c_{ncpl}]]$  if  $e_l$  is a concept in  $[[s_{tt}, n_t, c_{ncpl}]]$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $[[concept : e_l, s_{tt}, n_t]]$  if  $e_l$  is a concept in  $[[s_{tt}, n_t, c_{ncpl}]]$ .

An element  $e_l$  is a concept in  $[[c_{nf}, n_t, c_{ncpl.n}]]$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $[[c_{nf}, n_t, c_{ncpl.n}]]$ . A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $[[e_l, c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$  in  $[[concept : e_l, c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$  if  $e_l$  is a concept in  $[[c_{nf}, n_t, c_{ncpl.n}]]$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $[[concept : e_l, c_{nf}, n_t]]$  if  $e_l$  is a concept in  $[[c_{nf}, n_t, c_{ncpl.n}]]$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncpl} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : fg, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$  and  $s_{tt} = (c_{ncpl} : 3)$ . Then the following properties hold:

- *triangle, Euclidean, 2* are concepts in  $[[s_{tt}]]$  in  $[[1], [2], [3]]$  in  $[[c_{ncpl}]]$ ;
- *1, 2, 3* are orders in  $[[concept : triangle], [concept : Euclidean], [concept : 2]]$  in  $[[s_{tt}]]$  in  $[[c_{ncpl}]]$ ;
- $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $[[concept : triangle], [concept : Euclidean], [concept : 3]]$  in  $[[s_{tt}]]$  in  $[[1], [2], [2]]$ .

An element  $e_l$  is a concept in  $[[s_{tt}, n_t]]$  if there exists  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is a concept in  $[[s_{tt}, n_t, c_{ncpl}]]$ . A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $[[e_l, s_{tt}]]$  in  $[[concept : e_l, s_{tt}]]$  if  $e_l$  is a concept in  $[[s_{tt}, n_t]]$ .

An element  $e_l$  is a concept in  $[[c_{nf}, n_t]]$  if there exists  $c_{ncpl.n}$  such that  $e_l$  is a concept in  $[[c_{nf}, n_t, c_{ncpl.n}]]$ . A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $[[e_l, c_{nf}]]$  in  $[[concept : e_l, c_{nf}]]$  if  $e_l$  is a concept in  $[[c_{nf}, n_t]]$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 8, -2 : cm, -1 : volume, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : cube, 2 : Lobachevskian, 3 : 3)$ , and  $s_{tt} = (c_{ncl.1} : 3, c_{ncl.2} : 4)$ . Then the following properties hold:

- *triangle, Euclidean, 2* are concepts in  $[[s_{tt}]]$  in  $[[1], [2], [2]]$ ;
- *cube, Lobachevskian, 3* are concepts in  $[[s_{tt}]]$  in  $[[1], [2], [3]]$ ;
- *1, 2, 3* are orders in  $[[concept : triangle], [concept : Euclidean], [concept : 2]]$  in  $[[s_{tt}]]$ ;
- *1, 2, 3* are orders in  $[[concept : cube], [concept : Lobachevskian], [concept : 3]]$  in  $[[s_{tt}]]$ .

An element  $e_l$  is a concept in  $[[s_{tt}, c_{ncpl}]]$  if there exists  $n_t$  such that  $e_l$  is a concept in  $[[s_{tt}, n_t, c_{ncpl}]]$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $[[e_l, s_{tt}]]$  in  $[[concept : e_l, s_{tt}]]$  if  $e_l$  is a concept in  $[[s_{tt}, c_{ncpl}]]$ .

An element  $e_l$  is a concept in  $[[c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$  if there exists  $n_t$  such that  $e_l$  is a concept in

$\llbracket c_{nf}, n_t, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, c_{nf} \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket concept : e_l, c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is a concept in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  
 $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 8, -2 : cm, -1 : volume, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : cube, 2 : Lobachevskian, 3 : 3)$ , and  
 $s_{tt} = (c_{ncl.1} : 3, c_{ncl.2} : 4)$ . Then the following properties hold:

- $triangle, Euclidean, 2$  are concepts in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, c_{ncl.1} \rrbracket$ ;
- $cube, Lobachevskian, 3$  are concepts in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, c_{ncl.2} \rrbracket$ ;
- $c_{ncl.1}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket concept : triangle \rrbracket, \llbracket concept : Euclidean \rrbracket, \llbracket concept : 2 \rrbracket$   
in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- $c_{ncl.2}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket concept : cube \rrbracket, \llbracket concept : Lobachevskian \rrbracket, \llbracket concept : 3 \rrbracket$   
in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is a concept in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $n_t$  such that  $e_l$  is a concept in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, n_t \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, concept : \rrbracket$  if there exists  $e_l$  such that  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket concept : e_l, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, concept : \rrbracket$  if there exists  $e_l$  such that  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket concept : e_l, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is a concept in  $\llbracket c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $n_t$  such that  $e_l$  is a concept in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, n_t \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket c_{nf} \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, concept : \rrbracket$  if there exists  $e_l$  such that  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket concept : e_l, c_{nf} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket c_{nf} \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, concept : \rrbracket$  if there exists  $e_l$  such that  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket concept : e_l, c_{nf} \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  
 $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 8, -2 : cm, -1 : volume, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : cube, 2 : Lobachevskian, 3 : 3)$ , and  
 $s_{tt} = (c_{ncl.1} : 3, c_{ncl.2} : 4)$ . Then the following properties hold:

- $triangle, Euclidean, 2, cube, Lobachevskian, 3$  are concepts in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- $1, 2, 3$  are orders in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, concept : \rrbracket$ ;
- $c_{ncl.1}, c_{ncl.2}$  are concretizations in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, concept : \rrbracket$ .

### 6.3. Attributes

Attributes in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  generalize models of the usual attributes in  $\llbracket s_{s.q.i} \rrbracket$  which are interpreted as characteristics of elements of  $s_{s.q.i}$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, n_t, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, -n_t, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, s_{tt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket attribute : e_l, s_{tt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, n_t, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket attribute : e_l, s_{tt}, n_t \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, n_t, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, n_t, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, -n_t, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket attribute : e_l, c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, n_t, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket attribute : e_l, c_{nf}, n_t \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, n_t, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncpl} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$  and  $s_{tt} = (c_{ncpl} : 3)$ . Then the following properties hold:

- $area, inch, 10$  are attributes in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket 1 \rrbracket, \llbracket 2 \rrbracket, \llbracket 3 \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ ;
- $1, 2, 3$  are orders in  $\llbracket attribute : area \rrbracket, \llbracket attribute : inch \rrbracket, \llbracket attribute : 10 \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ ;
- $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket attribute : area \rrbracket, \llbracket attribute : inch \rrbracket, \llbracket attribute : 10 \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket 1 \rrbracket, \llbracket 2 \rrbracket, \llbracket 3 \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, n_t \rrbracket$  if there exists  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, n_t, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket attribute : e_l, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, n_t \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, n_t \rrbracket$  if there exists  $c_{ncpl.n}$  such that  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, n_t, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, c_{nf} \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket attribute : e_l, c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, n_t \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 8, -2 : cm, -1 : volume, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : cube, 2 : Lobachevskian, 3 : 3)$ , and  $s_{tt} = (c_{ncl.1} : 3, c_{ncl.2} : 4)$ . Then the following properties hold:

- $area, inch, 10$  are attributes in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket 1 \rrbracket, \llbracket 2 \rrbracket, \llbracket 3 \rrbracket$ ;
- $volume, cm, 8$  are attributes in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket 1 \rrbracket, \llbracket 2 \rrbracket, \llbracket 3 \rrbracket$ ;
- $1, 2, 3$  are orders in  $\llbracket attribute : area \rrbracket, \llbracket attribute : inch \rrbracket, \llbracket attribute : 10 \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- $1, 2, 3$  are orders in  $\llbracket attribute : volume \rrbracket, \llbracket attribute : cm \rrbracket, \llbracket attribute : 8 \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $n_t$  such that  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, n_t, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket attribute : e_l, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $n_t$  such that  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, n_t, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, c_{nf} \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket attribute : e_l, c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 8, -2 : cm, -1 : volume, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : cube, 2 : Lobachevskian, 3 : 3)$ , and

$s_{tt} = (c_{ncl.1} : 3, c_{ncl.2} : 4)$ . Then the following properties hold:

- *area, inch, 10* are attributes in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, c_{ncl.1} \rrbracket$ ;
- *volume, cm, 8* are attributes in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, c_{ncl.2} \rrbracket$ ;
- $c_{ncl.1}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket attribute : area \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket attribute : inch \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket attribute : 10 \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- $c_{ncl.2}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket attribute : volume \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket attribute : cm \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket attribute : 8 \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $n_t$  such that  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, n_t \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, attribute : \rrbracket$  if there exists  $e_l$  such that  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket attribute : e_l, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, attribute : \rrbracket$  if there exists  $e_l$  such that  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket attribute : e_l, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $n_t$  such that  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, n_t \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, attribute : \rrbracket$  if there exists  $e_l$  such that  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket attribute : e_l, c_{nf} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, attribute : \rrbracket$  if there exists  $e_l$  such that  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket attribute : e_l, c_{nf} \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  
 $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 8, -2 : cm, -1 : volume, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : cube, 2 : Lobachevskian, 3 : 3)$ , and  
 $s_{tt} = (c_{ncl.1} : 3, c_{ncl.2} : 4)$ . Then the following properties hold:

- *area, inch, 10, volume, cm, 8* are attributes in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- *1, 2, 3* are orders in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, attribute : \rrbracket$ ;
- $c_{ncl.1}, c_{ncl.2}$  are concretizations in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, attribute : \rrbracket$ .

Concepts and attributes are considered in detail below.

## 7. Structure of concepts

### 7.1. Direct concepts

The usual concepts in  $\llbracket s_{s.q.i} \rrbracket$  which are interpreted as sets of elements in  $\llbracket s_{s.q.i} \rrbracket$  are modelled by the special kind of concepts in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ , direct concepts in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

#### 7.1.1. Direct concepts

An element  $e_l$  is a direct concept in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is a concept in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, 1, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is a direct concept in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is a concept in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, 1, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is a direct concept in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct concept in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is a direct concept in  $\llbracket c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $c_{ncpl.n}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct concept in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

### 7.1.2. Concretizations

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket direct-concept : e_l, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is a concept in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, 1, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket direct-concept : e_l, c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is a concept in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, 1, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, direct-concept : \rrbracket$  if there exists  $e_l$  such that  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket direct-concept : e_l, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, direct-concept : \rrbracket$  if there exists  $e_l$  such that  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket direct-concept : e_l, c_{nf} \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : perimeter, 0 : f_g, 1 : rectangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ , and  $\llbracket support s_{tt} \rrbracket = \{c_{ncl.1}, c_{ncl.2}\}$ . Then the following properties hold:

- *triangle* and *rectangle* are direct concepts in  $s_{tt}$ ;
- $c_{ncl.1}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket direct-concept : triangle, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- $c_{ncl.2}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket direct-concept : rectangle, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

## 7.2. Elements of concepts

### 7.2.1. Elements, orders, concretizations

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $c_{ncp}$  is a concept in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, n_t, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ ,  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, i_{nt} \rrbracket$ , and  $i_{nt} < n_t$ . An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if  $c_{ncp}$  is a concept in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, n_t, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ ,  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl.n}, i_{nt} \rrbracket$ , and  $i_{nt} < n_t$ .

Thus, elements of  $c_{ncp}$  can be concepts of orders which are less than the order of  $c_{ncp}$ , individuals and attributes of any orders.

A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . It specifies the order in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, c_{ncp} \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element

in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . It specifies the order in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, e_l \rrbracket$ . A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . It defines that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

### 7.2.2. Kinds of elements

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $c_{ncpl.n}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $n_t$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $n_t$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t \rrbracket$  if there exist  $i_{nt}$  and  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t \rrbracket$  if there exist  $i_{nt}$  and  $c_{ncpl.n}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{element-order} : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if there exist  $n_t$  and

$c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if there exist  $n_t$  and  $c_{ncpl.n}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if there exist  $n_t$  and  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if there exist  $n_t$  and  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if there exist  $n_t$ ,  $i_{nt}$ , and  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if there exist  $n_t$ ,  $i_{nt}$ , and  $c_{ncpl.n}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

### 7.2.3. Kinds of orders in the context of concepts

A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$ .

A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t \rrbracket$ .

### 7.2.4. Kinds of orders in the context of elements

A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$ . A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in

$\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$ .

A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, element-order : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$ . A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, element-order : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$ .

### 7.2.5. Kinds of concretizations

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 2, -2 : cm, -1 : perimeter, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : rectangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ , and  $\llbracket support s_{tt} \rrbracket = \{c_{ncl.1}, c_{ncl.2}\}$ . Then the following properties hold:

- 10, *inch*, *area*,  $e_{l.g.1}$  are elements in  $\llbracket concept : triangle, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- 2, *cm*, *perimeter*,  $e_{l.g.2}$  are elements in  $\llbracket concept : rectangle, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- 10, *inch*, *area*,  $e_{l.g.1}$ , 2, *cm*, *perimeter*,  $e_{l.g.2}$ , *triangle*, *rectangle* are elements in  $\llbracket concept : Euclidian, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- 10, *inch*, *area*,  $e_{l.g.1}$ , 2, *cm*, *perimeter*,  $e_{l.g.2}$ , *triangle*, *rectangle*, *Euclidian* are elements in  $\llbracket concept : 2, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- $c_{ncl.1}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket concept : triangle \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket concept : Euclidian \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket concept : 2 \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- $c_{ncl.2}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket concept : rectangle \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket concept : Euclidian \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket concept : 2 \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- 1 is an order in  $\llbracket e_{l.g.2}, concept : rectangle, s_{tt}, concept-order : \rrbracket$ ;

- 0 is an order in  $\llbracket e_{l.g.1}, concept : triangle, s_{tt}, element-order \rrbracket$ ;
- –1 is an order in  $\llbracket area, concept : triangle, s_{tt}, element-order \rrbracket$ ;
- –2 is an order in  $\llbracket cm, concept : Eucludian, s_{tt}, element-order \rrbracket$ .

### 7.3. The property of direct concepts

*Proposition 6.* If  $c_{ncp}$  is a concept in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  and  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : 1 \rrbracket$ , then  $e_l$  is either an individual in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ , or  $e_l$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

*Proof.* This follows from the definition of direct concepts.  $\square$

### 7.4. The content of concepts

The content of a concept describes its semantics.

A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t$  is the set of all elements in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ .

A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t$  is the set of all elements in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{c_{ncpl} \llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket} s_t \llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{c_{ncpl.n} \llbracket c_{nf} \rrbracket} s_t \llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{i_{nt} < n_t} s_t \llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$ . A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{i_{nt} < n_t} s_t \llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$ .

A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{n_t} s_t \llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t \rrbracket$ . A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{n_t} s_t \llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 2, -2 : cm, -1 : perimeter, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : rectangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ , and  $\llbracket support s_{tt} \rrbracket = \{c_{ncl.1}, c_{ncl.2}\}$ . Then the following properties hold:

- $\{10, inch, area, e_{l.g.1}\}$  is the content in  $\llbracket concept : triangle, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- $\{2, cm, perimeter, e_{l.g.2}\}$  is the content in  $\llbracket concept : rectangle, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;

- $\{10, inch, area, e_{l.g.1}, 2, cm, perimeter, e_{l.g.2}, triangle, rectangle\}$  is the content in  $\llbracket concept : Euclidian, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- $\{10, inch, area, e_{l.g.1}, 2, cm, perimeter, e_{l.g.2}, triangle, rectangle, Euclidian\}$  is the content in  $\llbracket concept : 2, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

## 7.5. Mediators

### 7.5.1. Mediators, elements, degrees

An element  $e_{l.1}$  is a mediator in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ ,  $e_{l.1}$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, i_{nt.1} \rrbracket$ , and  $i_{nt} < i_{nt.1} < n_t$ . It is between  $e_l$  and  $c_{ncp}$  in  $c_{ncpl}$  in the position  $i_{nt.1}$ , thus separating  $e_l$  from  $c_{ncp}$  in  $c_{ncpl}$ . An element  $e_{l.1}$  is a mediator in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ ,  $e_{l.1}$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl.n}, i_{nt.1} \rrbracket$ , and  $i_{nt} < i_{nt.1} < n_t$ .

An element  $e_{l.1}$  is a mediator in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $i_{nt.1}$  such that  $e_{l.1}$  is a mediator in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_{l.1}$  is a mediator in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $i_{nt.1}$  such that  $e_{l.1}$  is a mediator in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  and  $n_{at.1}$  is the number of orders  $i_{nt.1}$  in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, \hat{e}_l \rrbracket$  such that  $i_{nt} < i_{nt.1} < n_t$ . It is separated from  $c_{ncp}$  in  $c_{ncpl}$  by  $n_{at.1}$  of mediators. An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  and  $n_{at.1}$  is the number of orders  $i_{nt.1}$  in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl.n}, \hat{e}_l \rrbracket$  such that  $i_{nt} < i_{nt.1} < n_t$ .

A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, mediator-degree : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . It specifies how many mediators separate  $e_l$  from  $c_{ncp}$  in  $c_{ncpl}$ . A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, mediator-degree : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .



$n_t$  and  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if there exist  $n_t$  and  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if there exist  $n_t$ ,  $i_{nt}$ , and  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if there exist  $n_t$ ,  $i_{nt}$ , and  $c_{ncpl.n}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

### 7.5.3. Kinds of degrees

A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, \text{mediator-degree} : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, \text{mediator-degree} : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, c_{ncpl}, \text{mediator-degree} : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, c_{ncpl}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, c_{ncpl.n}, \text{mediator-degree} : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, c_{ncpl.n}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, \text{mediator-degree} : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, \text{mediator-degree} : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, \text{mediator-degree} : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, c_{ncpl}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, \text{mediator-degree} : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{mediator-degree} : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . A

number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept\text{-}order : n_t, mediator\text{-}degree : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept\text{-}order : n_t, mediator\text{-}degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, element\text{-}order : i_{nt}, mediator\text{-}degree : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, element\text{-}order : i_{nt}, mediator\text{-}degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, element\text{-}order : i_{nt}, mediator\text{-}degree : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, element\text{-}order : i_{nt}, mediator\text{-}degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, mediator\text{-}degree : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, mediator\text{-}degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, mediator\text{-}degree : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, mediator\text{-}degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ , and  $[support\ s_{tt}] = \{c_{ncl.1}, c_{ncl.2}\}$ . Then  $f_g$  is an element in the following contexts:

- $\llbracket concept : triangle, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  with the decree 0 and without mediators;
- $\llbracket concept : Euclidean, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  with the decree 1 and the mediator *triangle*;
- $\llbracket concept : 2, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  with the decree 2 and the mediators *triangle* and *Euclidean*;
- $\llbracket concept : Euclidean, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  with the decree 0 and without mediators;
- $\llbracket concept : 2, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  with the decree 1 and the mediator *Euclidean*.

## 7.6. Direct elements

An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept\text{-}order : n_t, element\text{-}order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept\text{-}order : n_t, element\text{-}order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, mediator\text{-}degree : 0 \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept\text{-}order : n_t, element\text{-}order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept\text{-}order : n_t, element\text{-}order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, mediator\text{-}degree : 0 \rrbracket$ .

### 7.6.1. Kinds of direct elements

An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept\text{-}order : n_t, element\text{-}order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept\text{-}order : n_t, element\text{-}order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept\text{-}order : n_t, element\text{-}order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept\text{-}order : n_t, element\text{-}order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept\text{-}order : n_t, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept\text{-}order : n_t, element\text{-}order :$

$i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}$ ]. An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, c_{ncpl.n}]]$  if there exists  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$ .

An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}]]$  if there exists  $n_t$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}]]$ . An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$  if there exists  $n_t$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$ .

An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t]]$  if there exist  $i_{nt}$  and  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}]]$ . An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t]]$  if there exist  $i_{nt}$  and  $c_{ncpl.n}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$ .

An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, element-order : i_{nt}]]$  if there exist  $n_t$  and  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}]]$ . An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, element-order : i_{nt}]]$  if there exist  $n_t$  and  $c_{ncpl.n}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$ .

An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, c_{ncpl}]]$  if there exist  $n_t$  and  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}]]$ . An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$  if there exist  $n_t$  and  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$ .

An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}]]$  if there exist  $n_t$ ,  $i_{nt}$ , and  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}]]$ . An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}]]$  if there exist  $n_t$ ,  $i_{nt}$ , and  $c_{ncpl.n}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, concept-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncpl} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$  and  $s_{tt} = (c_{ncpl} : 3)$ . Then the following properties hold:

- $f_g$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : triangle, s_{tt}]]$  that means that  $f_g$  is a triangle in  $[[s_{tt}]]$ ;
- $triangle$  is a direct element in  $[[concept : Euclidian, s_{tt}]]$  that means that classification of geometric figures in Euclidian space includes triangles in  $[[s_{tt}]]$ ;

- *Euclidian* is a direct element in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : 2, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classification of two-dimensional spaces includes Euclidian space in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

## 7.7. The direct content of concepts

A set  $s_t$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t$  is the set of all direct elements in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A set  $s_t$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t$  is the set of all direct elements in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

A set  $s_t$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{c_{ncpl} \llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket} s_t \llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A set  $s_t$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{c_{ncpl.n} \llbracket c_{nf} \rrbracket} s_t \llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

A set  $s_t$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{i_{nt} < n_t} s_t \llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt} \rrbracket$ . A set  $s_t$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{i_{nt} < n_t} s_t \llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt} \rrbracket$ .

A set  $s_t$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{n_t} s_t \llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t \rrbracket$ . A set  $s_t$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{n_t} s_t \llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : \text{inch}, -1 : \text{area}, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : \text{triangle}, 2 : \text{Euclidean}, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 10, -2 : \text{inch}, -1 : \text{area}, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : \text{triangle}, 2 : \text{Riemannian}, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.3} = (-3 : 10, -2 : \text{inch}, -1 : \text{area}, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 3 : 2)$ , and  $[\text{support } s_{tt}] = \{c_{ncl.1}, c_{ncl.2}, c_{ncl.3}\}$ . Then the following properties hold:

- $\{e_{l.g.1}, e_{l.g.2}\}$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : \text{triangle}, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- $\{\text{triangle}\}$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : \text{Euclidian}, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- $\{\text{triangle}\}$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : \text{Riemannian}, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- $\{\text{Euclidian}, \text{Riemannian}\}$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : 2, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- $\{e_{l.g.1}\}$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : 2, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

## 7.8. The content of concepts in the context of mediators

A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t$  is the set of all elements in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t$  is the set of all elements in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{c_{ncpl} \llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket} s_t \llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{c_{ncpl.n} \llbracket c_{nf} \rrbracket} s_t \llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{i_{nt} < n_t} s_t \llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{i_{nt} < n_t} s_t \llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{n_t} s_t \llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, \text{concept-order} : i_{nt}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{n_t} s_t \llbracket \text{concept} : c_{ncp}, c_{nf}, \text{concept-order} : i_{nt}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : \text{inch}, -1 : \text{area}, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : \text{triangle}, 2 : \text{Euclidean}, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 10, -2 : \text{inch}, -1 : \text{area}, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : \text{triangle}, 2 : \text{Riemannian}, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.3} = (-3 : 10, -2 : \text{inch}, -1 : \text{perimeter}, 0 : e_{l.g.3}, 2 : \text{Euclidean}, 3 : 2)$ , and  $\llbracket \text{support } s_{tt} \rrbracket = \{c_{ncl.1}, c_{ncl.2}, c_{ncl.3}\}$ . Then the following properties hold:

- $\{e_{l.g.1}, e_{l.g.2}\}$  is the content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : 2, s_{tt}, \text{mediator-degree} : 2 \rrbracket$ ;
- $\{e_{l.g.3}\}$  is the content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : 2, s_{tt}, \text{mediator-degree} : 1 \rrbracket$ ;
- $\{\text{area}\}$  is the content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : 2, s_{tt}, \text{mediator-degree} : 3 \rrbracket$ ;
- $\{\text{perimeter}\}$  is the content in  $\llbracket \text{concept} : 2, s_{tt}, \text{mediator-degree} : 2 \rrbracket$ .

## 8. Classification and interpretation of concepts

Concepts are classified according to their orders.

### 8.1. Concepts of the order 1

A concept  $c_{ncp}$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, 1 \rrbracket$  models a usual concept in  $\llbracket s_{s.q.i} \rrbracket$ . Elements in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : 1 \rrbracket$  are attributes and individuals in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 2, -2 : cm, -1 : perimeter, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ , and  $\llbracket support s_{tt} \rrbracket = \{c_{ncl.1}, c_{ncl.2}\}$ . Then the following properties hold:

- the direct concept *triangle* models triangles in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the individuals  $e_{l.g.1}$  and  $e_{l.g.2}$  are elements of the order 0 of the direct concept *triangle* in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that  $e_{l.g.1}$  and  $e_{l.g.2}$  are triangles in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the attributes *area* and *perimeter* are elements of the order  $-1$  of the direct concept *triangle* in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classification of numerical characteristics of triangles includes area and perimeter in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the attributes *inch* and *cm* are elements of the order  $-2$  of the direct concept *triangle* in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classification of units of measurement of numerical characteristics of triangles includes inches and centimetres in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the attributes 10 and 2 are elements of the order  $-3$  of the direct concept *triangle* in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classification of numeral systems for representing values of numerical characteristics of triangles includes decimal and binary systems in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

## 8.2. Concepts of the order 2

A concept  $c_{ncp}$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, 2 \rrbracket$  models a concept space in  $\llbracket s_{s.q.i} \rrbracket$ . Elements in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : 2 \rrbracket$  are attributes, individuals and direct concepts in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 2, -2 : cm, -1 : perimeter, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : square, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ , and  $\llbracket support s_{tt} \rrbracket = \{c_{ncl.1}, c_{ncl.2}\}$ . Then the following properties hold:

- the concept space *Euclidean* models Euclidean space in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the direct concepts *triangle* and *square* are elements of the order 1 of the concept space *Euclidean* in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classification of geometric figures in Euclidean space includes triangles and squares in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the individuals  $e_{l.g.1}$  and  $e_{l.g.2}$  are elements of the order 0 of the concept space *Euclidean* in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that  $e_{l.g.1}$  and  $e_{l.g.2}$  are geometric figures in Euclidean space in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the attributes *area* and *perimeter* are elements of the order  $-1$  of the concept space

- *Euclidean* in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classification of numerical characteristics of geometric figures in Euclidean space includes area and perimeter in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the attributes *inch* and *cm* are elements of the order  $-2$  of the concept space *Euclidean* in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classification of units of measurement of numerical characteristics of geometric figures in Euclidean space includes inches and centimetres in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the attributes 10 and 2 are elements of the order  $-3$  of the concept space *Euclidean* in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classification of numeral systems for representing values of numerical characteristics of geometric figures in Euclidean space includes decimal and binary systems in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

### 8.3. Concepts of the order 3

A concept  $c_{ncp}$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, 3 \rrbracket$  models a space of concept spaces in  $\llbracket s_{s,q,i} \rrbracket$ . Elements in  $\llbracket concept : c_{ncp}, s_{tt}, concept-order : 3 \rrbracket$  are attributes, individuals, direct concepts and concept spaces in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 2, -2 : cm, -1 : perimeter, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : square, 2 : Riemannian, 3 : 2)$ , and  $\llbracket support s_{tt} \rrbracket = \{c_{ncl.1}, c_{ncl.2}\}$ . Then the following properties hold:

- the concept space space 2 models two-dimensional space in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the concept spaces *Euclidean* and *Riemannian* are elements of the order 2 of the concept space space 2 in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classification of two-dimensional spaces includes Euclidean space and Riemannian space in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the direct concepts *triangle* and *square* are elements of the order 1 of the concept space space 2 in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classification of geometric figures in two-dimensional space includes triangles and squares in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the individuals  $e_{l.g.1}$  and  $e_{l.g.2}$  are elements of the order 0 of the concept space space 2 in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that  $e_{l.g.1}$  and  $e_{l.g.2}$  are geometric figures in two-dimensional space in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the attributes *area* and *perimeter* are elements of the order  $-1$  of the concept space space 2 in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classification of numerical characteristics of geometric figures in two-dimensional space includes area and perimeter in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the attributes *inch* and *cm* are elements of the order  $-2$  of the concept space space 2

- in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classification of units of measurement of numerical characteristics of geometric figures in two-dimensional space includes inches and centimetres in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the attributes 10 and 2 are elements of the order  $-3$  of the concept space space 2 in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classification of numeral systems for representing values of numerical characteristics of geometric figures in two-dimensional space includes decimal and binary systems in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

## 8.4. Concepts of higher orders

A concept  $c_{ncp}$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, n_t \rrbracket$ , where  $n_t > 3$ , is classified and interpreted in the similar way (by the introduction of the space of concept space spaces and so on.).

## 9. Structure of attributes

Attributes use the same terminology as concepts.

### 9.1. Direct attributes

The usual attributes in  $\llbracket s_{s,q,i} \rrbracket$  which are interpreted as characteristics of elements in  $\llbracket s_{s,q,i} \rrbracket$  are modelled by the special kind of attributes in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ , direct attributes in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

#### 9.1.1. Direct concepts

An element  $e_l$  is a direct attribute in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is a attribute in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, 1, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is a direct attribute in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is a attribute in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, 1, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is a direct attribute in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct attribute in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is a direct attribute in  $\llbracket c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $c_{ncpl.n}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct attribute in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

#### 9.1.2. Concretizations

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket direct-attribute : e_l, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is a attribute in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, 1, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket direct-attribute : e_l, c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is a attribute in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, 1, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, direct-attribute : \rrbracket$  if there exists  $e_l$  such that  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket direct-attribute : e_l, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, direct-attribute : \rrbracket$  if there exists  $e_l$  such that  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket direct-$

*attribute* :  $e_l, c_{nf}$ ].

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,

$c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : perimeter, 0 : f_g, 1 : rectangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ , and

$[[support\ s_{tt}]] = \{c_{ncl.1}, c_{ncl.2}\}$ . Then the following properties hold:

- *area* and *perimeter* are direct attributes in  $s_{tt}$ ;
- $c_{ncl.1}$  is a concretization in  $[[direct-attribute : area, s_{tt}]]$ ;
- $c_{ncl.2}$  is a concretization in  $[[direct-attribute : perimeter, s_{tt}]]$ .

## 9.2. Elements of attributes

### 9.2.1. Elements, orders, concretizations

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}]]$  if  $a_{tt}$  is an attribute in  $[[s_{tt}, n_t, c_{ncpl}]]$ ,  $e_l$  is an element in  $[[c_{ncpl}, i_{nt}]]$ , and  $-n_t < i_{nt}$ . An

element  $e_l$  is an element in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$  if  $a_{tt}$  is an attribute in  $[[c_{nf}, n_t, c_{ncpl.n}]]$ ,  $e_l$  is an element in  $[[c_{ncpl.n}, i_{nt}]]$ , and  $-n_t < i_{nt}$ .

Thus, elements of the attribute  $a_{tt}$  can be attributes of orders which are less than the order of  $a_{tt}$ , individuals and concepts of all orders.

A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $[[e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}]]$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}]]$ . It specifies the order in  $[[c_{ncpl}, a_{tt}]]$ . A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $[[e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$ .

A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $[[e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, c_{ncpl}]]$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}]]$ . It specifies the order in  $[[c_{ncpl}, e_l]]$ . A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $[[e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, c_{ncpl.n}]]$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$ .

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $[[e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}]]$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}]]$ . It defines that  $e_l$  is an element in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}]]$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $[[e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}]]$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$ .

### 9.2.2. Kinds of elements



in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

### 9.2.3. Kinds of orders in the context of attributes

A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt} \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt} \rrbracket$ .

A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t \rrbracket$ .

### 9.2.4. Kinds of orders in the context of elements

A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt} \rrbracket$ . A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt} \rrbracket$ .

A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt} \rrbracket$ . A number  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket e_l, \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt} \rrbracket$ .

### 9.2.5. Kinds of concretizations

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.n}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : volume, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : pyramid, 2 : Riemannian, 3 : 3)$ , and  $[support\ s_{tt}] = \{c_{ncl.1}, c_{ncl.2}\}$ . Then the following properties hold:

- 2, *Euclidean, triangle,  $e_{l.g.1}$*  are elements in  $\llbracket attribute : area, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- 3, *Riemannian, pyramid,  $e_{l.g.2}$*  are elements in  $\llbracket attribute : volume, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- 2, *Euclidean, triangle,  $e_{l.g.1}$ , 3, Riemannian, pyramid,  $e_{l.g.2}$ , area, volume* are elements in  $\llbracket attribute : inch, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- 2, *Euclidean, triangle,  $e_{l.g.1}$ , 3, Riemannian, pyramid,  $e_{l.g.2}$ , area, volume, inch* are elements in  $\llbracket attribute : 10, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- $c_{ncl.1}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket attribute : area \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket attribute : inch \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket attribute : 10 \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- $c_{ncl.2}$  is a concretization in  $\llbracket attribute : volume \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket attribute : inch \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket attribute : 10 \rrbracket$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- 1 is an order in  $\llbracket e_{l.g.2}, attribute : volume, s_{tt}, attribute-order : \rrbracket$ ;
- 0 is an order in  $\llbracket e_{l.g.1}, attribute : area, s_{tt}, element-order : \rrbracket$ ;
- 1 is an order in  $\llbracket triangle, attribute : area, s_{tt}, element-order : \rrbracket$ ;
- 2 is an order in  $\llbracket Euclidian, attribute : inch, s_{tt}, element-order : \rrbracket$ .

### 9.3. The property of direct attributes

*Proposition 7.* If  $a_{tt}$  is an attribute in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  and  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : 1 \rrbracket$ , then  $e_l$  is either an individual or  $e_l$  is a concept in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

*Proof.* This follows from the definition of direct attributes.  $\square$

### 9.4. The content of attributes

The content of a attributes describes its semantics.

A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t$  is the set of all elements in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t$  is the set of all elements in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{c_{ncpl} \llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket} s_t \llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{c_{ncpl.n} \llbracket c_{nf} \rrbracket} s_t \llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{-n_t < i_{nt}} s_t \llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$ . A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{-n_t < i_{nt}} s_t \llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$ .

A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{n_t} s_t \llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t \rrbracket$ . A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{n_t} s_t \llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : volume, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : pyramid, 2 : Riemannian, 3 : 3)$ , and  $\llbracket support s_{tt} \rrbracket = \{c_{ncl.1}, c_{ncl.2}\}$ . Then the following properties hold:

- $\{2, Euclidean, triangle, e_{l.g.1}\}$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : area, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- $\{3, Riemannian, pyramid, e_{l.g.2}\}$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : volume, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- $\{2, Euclidean, triangle, e_{l.g.1}, 3, Riemannian, pyramid, e_{l.g.2}, area, volume\}$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : inch, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- $\{2, Euclidean, triangle, e_{l.g.1}, 3, Riemannian, pyramid, e_{l.g.2}, area, volume, inch\}$  is the content in  $\llbracket concept : 10, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

## 9.5. Mediators

### 9.5.1. Mediators, elements, degrees

An element  $e_{l.1}$  is a mediator in  $\llbracket e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, i_{nt.1} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ ,  $e_{l.1}$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, i_{nt.1} \rrbracket$ , and  $-n_t < i_{nt.1} < i_{nt}$ . It is between  $a_{tt}$  and  $e_l$  in  $c_{ncpl}$  in the position  $i_{nt.1}$ , thus separating  $e_l$  from  $a_{tt}$  in  $c_{ncpl}$ . An element  $e_{l.1}$  is a mediator in

$\llbracket e_l, \text{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \text{attribute-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, i_{nt.1} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \text{attribute-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ ,  $e_{l.1}$  is an element in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl.n}, i_{nt.1} \rrbracket$ , and  $-n_t < i_{nt.1} < i_{nt}$ .

An element  $e_{l.1}$  is a mediator in  $\llbracket e_l, \text{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \text{attribute-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $i_{nt.1}$  such that  $e_{l.1}$  is a mediator in  $\llbracket e_l, \text{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \text{attribute-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, i_{nt.1} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_{l.1}$  is a mediator in  $\llbracket e_l, \text{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \text{attribute-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $i_{nt.1}$  such that  $e_{l.1}$  is a mediator in  $\llbracket e_l, \text{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \text{attribute-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, i_{nt.1} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \text{attribute-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \text{attribute-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  and  $n_{at.1}$  is the number of orders  $i_{nt.1}$  in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, \hat{e}_l \rrbracket$  such that  $-n_t < i_{nt.1} < i_{nt}$ . It is separated from  $a_{tt}$  in  $c_{ncpl}$  by  $n_{at.1}$  of mediators. An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \text{attribute-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \text{attribute-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  and  $n_{at.1}$  is the number of orders  $i_{nt.1}$  in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl.n}, \hat{e}_l \rrbracket$  such that  $-n_t < i_{nt.1} < i_{nt}$ .

A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, \text{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \text{attribute-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, \text{mediator-degree} : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \text{attribute-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . It specifies how many mediators separate  $e_l$  from  $a_{tt}$  in  $c_{ncpl}$ . A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, \text{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \text{attribute-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, \text{mediator-degree} : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \text{attribute-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

### 9.5.2. Kinds of elements

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \text{attribute-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \text{attribute-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \text{attribute-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $c_{ncpl.n}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \text{attribute-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \text{attribute-order} : n_t, c_{ncpl}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \text{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \text{attribute-order} : n_t, \text{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, \text{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is an element

in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, c_{ncpl.n}, \textit{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, \textit{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, \textit{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $n_t$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, \textit{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, \textit{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $n_t$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, \textit{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, \textit{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if there exist  $i_{nt}$  and  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, \textit{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, \textit{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if there exist  $i_{nt}$  and  $c_{ncpl.n}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, \textit{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt}, \textit{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if there exist  $n_t$  and  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, \textit{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt}, \textit{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if there exist  $n_t$  and  $c_{ncpl.n}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, \textit{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, c_{ncpl}, \textit{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if there exist  $n_t$  and  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, \textit{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n}, \textit{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if there exist  $n_t$  and  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, \textit{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if there exist  $n_t$ ,  $i_{nt}$ , and  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, \textit{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if there exist  $n_t$ ,  $i_{nt}$ , and  $c_{ncpl.n}$  such that  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket \textit{attribute} : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, \textit{attribute-order} : n_t, \textit{element-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, \textit{mediator-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

### 9.5.3. Kinds of degrees

A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, mediator-degree : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, mediator-degree : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, c_{ncpl}, mediator-degree : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, c_{ncpl}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, c_{ncpl.n}, mediator-degree : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, c_{ncpl.n}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, mediator-degree : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, mediator-degree : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, mediator-degree : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, c_{ncpl}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, mediator-degree : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, mediator-degree : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, mediator-degree : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, element-order : i_{nt}, mediator-degree : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, element-order : i_{nt}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, element-order : i_{nt}, mediator-degree : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, element-order : i_{nt}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, mediator-degree : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . A number  $n_{at.1}$  is a degree in  $\llbracket e_l, attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, mediator-degree : \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 10, -2 : cm, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ , and  $[support\ s_{tt}] =$

$\{c_{ncl.1}, c_{ncl.2}\}$ . Then  $f_g$  is an element in the following contexts:

- $\llbracket attribute : area, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  with the decree 0 and without mediators;
- $\llbracket attribute : inch, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  with the decree 1 and the mediator *area*;
- $\llbracket attribute : 10, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  with the decree 2 and the mediators *area* and *inch*;
- $\llbracket attribute : cm, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  with the decree 0 and without mediators;
- $\llbracket attribute : 10, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  with the decree 1 and the mediator *cm*.

## 9.6. Direct elements

An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, mediator-degree : 0 \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if  $e_l$  is an element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, mediator-degree : 0 \rrbracket$ .

### 9.6.1. Kinds of direct elements

An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $c_{ncpl.n}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $n_t$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $n_t$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t \rrbracket$  if there exist  $i_{nt}$  and  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf} \rrbracket$ ,

$attribute-order : n_t$ ] if there exist  $i_{nt}$  and  $c_{ncpl.n}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$ .

An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, element-order : i_{nt}]]$  if there exist  $n_t$  and  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}]]$ . An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, element-order : i_{nt}]]$  if there exist  $n_t$  and  $c_{ncpl.n}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$ .

An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, c_{ncpl}]]$  if there exist  $n_t$  and  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}]]$ . An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$  if there exist  $n_t$  and  $i_{nt}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$ .

An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}]]$  if there exist  $n_t$ ,  $i_{nt}$ , and  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}]]$ . An element  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}]]$  if there exist  $n_t$ ,  $i_{nt}$ , and  $c_{ncpl.n}$  such that  $e_l$  is a direct element in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncpl} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$  and  $s_{tt} = (c_{ncpl} : 3)$ . Then the following properties hold:

- $f_g$  is a direct element in  $[[attribute : area, s_{tt}]]$  that means that classification of numerical characteristics of  $f_g$  includes area in  $[[s_{tt}]]$ ;
- $area$  is a direct element in  $[[attribute : inch, s_{tt}]]$  that means that classification of units of measurement of numerical characteristics of geometric figures includes inches in  $[[s_{tt}]]$ ;
- $inch$  is a direct element in  $[[attribute : 10, s_{tt}]]$  that means that classification of numerical systems for representing values of numerical characteristics of geometric figures includes decimal system in  $[[s_{tt}]]$ .

## 9.7. The direct content of attributes

A set  $s_t$  is the direct content in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}]]$  if  $s_t$  is the set of all direct elements in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}]]$ . A set  $s_t$  is the direct content in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$  if  $s_t$  is the set of all direct elements in  $[[attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}]]$ .

A set  $s_t$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{c_{ncpl} \llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket} s_t \llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ . A set  $s_t$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{c_{ncpl.n} \llbracket c_{nf} \rrbracket} s_t \llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n} \rrbracket$ .

A set  $s_t$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{-n_t < i_{nt}} s_t \llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$ . A set  $s_t$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{-n_t < i_{nt}} s_t \llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt} \rrbracket$ .

A set  $s_t$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{n_t} s_t \llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t \rrbracket$ . A set  $s_t$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{n_t} s_t \llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 10, -2 : cm, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.3} = (-3 : 10, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ , and  $[support\ s_{tt}] = \{c_{ncl.1}, c_{ncl.2}, c_{ncl.3}\}$ . Then the following properties hold:

- $\{e_{l.g.1}, e_{l.g.2}\}$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket attribute : area, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- $\{area\}$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket attribute : inch, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- $\{area\}$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket attribute : cm, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- $\{inch, cm\}$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket attribute : 10, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- $\{e_{l.g.1}\}$  is the direct content in  $\llbracket attribute : 10, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

## 9.8. The content of attributes in the context of mediators

A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t$  is the set of all elements in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t$  is the set of all elements in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{c_{ncpl} \llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket} s_t \llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute-order : n_t, element-order : i_{nt}, mediator-degree : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{c_{ncpl.n} \llbracket c_{nf} \rrbracket} s_t$

$\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute\text{-order} : n_t, element\text{-order} : i_{nt}, c_{ncpl.n}, mediator\text{-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute\text{-order} : n_t, mediator\text{-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{-n_t < i_{nt}} s_t \llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute\text{-order} : n_t, element\text{-order} : i_{nt}, mediator\text{-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute\text{-order} : n_t, mediator\text{-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{-n_t < i_{nt}} s_t \llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute\text{-order} : n_t, element\text{-order} : i_{nt}, mediator\text{-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, mediator\text{-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{n_t} s_t \llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute\text{-order} : i_{nt}, mediator\text{-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ . A set  $s_t$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, mediator\text{-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t = \bigcup_{n_t} s_t \llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, c_{nf}, attribute\text{-order} : i_{nt}, mediator\text{-degree} : n_{at.1} \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : perimeter, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.3} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, 0 : e_{l.g.3}, 1 : rectangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ , and  $[support\ s_{tt}] = \{c_{ncl.1}, c_{ncl.2}, c_{ncl.3}\}$ . Then the following properties hold:

- $\{e_{l.g.1}, e_{l.g.2}\}$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : 10, s_{tt}, mediator\text{-degree} : 2 \rrbracket$ ;
- $\{e_{l.g.3}\}$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : 10, s_{tt}, mediator\text{-degree} : 1 \rrbracket$ ;
- $\{triangle\}$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : 10, s_{tt}, mediator\text{-degree} : 3 \rrbracket$ ;
- $\{rectangle\}$  is the content in  $\llbracket attribute : 10, s_{tt}, mediator\text{-degree} : 2 \rrbracket$ .

## 10. Classification and interpretation of attributes

Attributes are classified according to their orders.

### 10.1. Attributes of the order 1

An attribute  $a_{tt}$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, 1 \rrbracket$  models a usual attribute in  $\llbracket s_{s.q.i} \rrbracket$ . Elements in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute\text{-order} : 1 \rrbracket$  are individuals and concepts in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : square, 2 : Riemannian, 3 : 3)$ , and  $[support\ s_{tt}] = \{c_{ncl.1}, c_{ncl.2}\}$ . Then the following properties hold:

- the direct attribute *area* classifies geometric figures having area in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the individuals  $e_{l.g.1}$  and  $e_{l.g.2}$  are elements of the order 0 of the direct attribute *area* in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classification of numerical characteristics of  $e_{l.g.1}$  and  $e_{l.g.2}$  includes area in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;

- the concepts *triangle* and *square* are elements of the order 1 of the direct attribute *area* in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classification of numerical characteristics of triangles and squares includes area in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the concept spaces *Euclidean* and *Riemannian* are elements of the order 2 of the direct attribute *area* in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classification of numerical characteristics of geometric figures in Euclidean and Riemannian spaces includes area in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the concept space spaces 2 and 3 are elements of the order 3 of the direct attribute *area* in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classification of numerical characteristics of geometric figures in two-dimensional and three-dimensional spaces includes area in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

## 10.2. Attributes of the order 2

An attribute  $a_{tt}$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, 2 \rrbracket$  models an attribute space in  $\llbracket s_{s.q.i} \rrbracket$ . Elements in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : 2 \rrbracket$  are direct attributes, individuals and concepts in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : perimeter, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : square, 2 : Riemannian, 3 : 3)$ , and  $\llbracket support s_{tt} \rrbracket = \{c_{ncl.1}, c_{ncl.2}\}$ . Then the following properties hold:

- the attribute space *inch* classifies numerical characteristics of geometric figures measured in inches in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the direct attributes *area* and *perimeter* are elements of the order  $-1$  of the attribute space *inch* in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classification of numerical characteristics of geometric figures measured in inches includes area and perimeter in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the individuals  $e_{l.g.1}$  and  $e_{l.g.2}$  are elements of the order 0 of the attribute space *inch* in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classifications of geometric figures with numerical characteristics measured in inches includes  $e_{l.g.1}$  and  $e_{l.g.2}$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the concepts *triangle* and *square* are elements of the order 1 of the attribute space *inch* in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classifications of geometric figures with numerical characteristics measured in inches includes triangles and squares  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the concept spaces *Euclidean* and *Riemannian* are elements of the order 2 of the attribute space *inch* in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classifications of spaces containing geometric figures with numerical characteristics measured in inches includes Euclidean and Riemannian spaces in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the concept space spaces 2 and 3 are elements of the order 3 of the attribute space *inch*

in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classifications of dimensions of spaces containing geometric figures with numerical characteristics measured in inches includes dimensions 2 and 3 in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

### 10.3. Attributes of the order 3

An attribute  $a_{tt}$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, 3 \rrbracket$  models a space of attribute spaces in  $\llbracket s_{s.q.i} \rrbracket$ . Elements in  $\llbracket attribute : a_{tt}, s_{tt}, attribute-order : 3 \rrbracket$  are attribute spaces, direct attributes, individuals and concepts in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-3 : 10, -2 : cm, -1 : perimeter, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : square, 2 : Riemannian, 3 : 3)$ , and  $\llbracket support s_{tt} \rrbracket = \{c_{ncl.1}, c_{ncl.2}\}$ . Then the following properties hold:

- the attribute space space 10 classifies numerical characteristics of geometric figures with values represented in decimal system;
- the attribute spaces *inch* and *cm* are elements of the order  $-2$  of the attribute space space 10 in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classifications of units of measurement of numerical characteristics of geometric figures with values represented in decimal system includes inches and centimeters in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the direct attributes *area* and *perimeter* are elements of the order  $-11$  of the attribute space space 10 in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classifications of numerical characteristics of geometric figures with values represented in decimal system includes area and perimeter in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the individuals  $e_{l.g.1}$  and  $e_{l.g.2}$  are elements of the order 0 of the attribute space space 10 in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classifications of geometric figures with numerical characteristics with values represented in decimal system includes  $e_{l.g.1}$  and  $e_{l.g.2}$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the concepts *triangle* and *square* are elements of the order 1 of the attribute space space 10 in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classifications of geometric figures with numerical characteristics with values represented in decimal system includes triangles and squares in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the concept spaces *Euclidean* and *Riemannian* are elements of the order 2 of the attribute space space 10 in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classifications of spaces containing geometric figures with numerical characteristics with values represented in decimal

- system includes Euclidean space and Riemannian space in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- the concept space spaces 10 and 2 are elements of the order 3 of the attribute space space 10 in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  that means that classifications of dimensions of spaces containing geometric figures with numerical characteristics with values represented in decimal system includes dimensions 10 and 2 in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

## 10.4. Attributes of higher orders

An attribute  $a_{tt}$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, n_t \rrbracket$ , where  $n_t > 3$ , is classified and interpreted in the similar way (by the introduction of spaces of attribute space spaces and so on.).

## 11. Classification of conceptals

### 11.1. General principles and definitions

We use the two-level scheme of classification of conceptals. The upper (first) level is defined by the maximal order of attributes of a conceptual. This level is described by the notion of concretization order of a conceptual. The lower (second) level is defined by the set of all element orders of a conceptual. This level is described by the notion of integral order of a conceptual.

#### 11.1.1. Concretization orders of conceptals

The number 0 is an order in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if the minimal order in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, element : \rrbracket$  is greater than or equal to 0. A number  $n_t$  is an order in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $-n_t$  is a minimal order in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, element : \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.1}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.2} = (-2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.2}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.3} = (-1 : area, 0 : e_{l.g.3}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.4} = (0 : e_{l.g.4}, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.5} = (1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.6} = (2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ , and  $c_{ncl.7} = (3 : 2)$ . Then the conceptals  $c_{ncl.1}$ ,  $c_{ncl.2}$ ,  $c_{ncl.3}$  have the orders 3, 2, 1 and the conceptals  $c_{ncl.4}$ ,  $c_{ncl.5}$ ,  $c_{ncl.6}$ ,  $c_{ncl.7}$  have the order 0.

Conceptals of the order  $n_t$  concretizes conceptals of the orders which are less than  $n_t$ . They define the special kinds of such conceptals and are used to classify them. Concretization is performed by attributes of the order  $n_t$  and their values. Therefore, the order of a conceptual is also called the concretization order of the conceptual.

#### 11.1.2. Integral orders of conceptals

### 11.1.2.1. Integral orders

A set  $s_t$  is an integral order in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t$  is a set of all orders in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, element : \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : el.g.1, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ ,  
 $c_{ncl.1} = (-3 : 10, -1 : area, 1 : triangle, 3 : 2)$ ,  $c_{ncl.1} = (-2 : inch, -1 : area, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ . Then  $o_{r.i}\llbracket c_{ncl.1} \rrbracket = \{-3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $o_{r.i}\llbracket c_{ncl.2} \rrbracket = \{-3, -1, 1, 3\}$ ,  
and  $o_{r.i}\llbracket c_{ncl.3} \rrbracket = \{-2, -1, 2, 3\}$ .

### 11.1.2.2. Refined integral orders

A set  $s_t$  is a refined integral order in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t$  is a result of replacement of zero or more orders  $i_{nt}$  in  $\llbracket \llbracket c_{ncpl}, element : \rrbracket \rrbracket$  in the set  $o_{r.i}\llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  by objects  $i_{nt} : [c_{ncpl} i_{nt}]$ . A refined integral order in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  refines an integral order in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ , providing information on some elements of  $c_{ncpl}$  with their orders. Let  $c_{ncpl} : o_{r.i.r}$  denote a conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  which has the refined integral order  $o_{r.i.r}$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncpl} = (-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : el.g.1, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$ .  
Then  $\{-3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $\{-3, -2 : inch, -1, 0, 1 : triangle, 2, 3\}$  and  $\{-3 : 10, -2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : el.g.1, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2\}$  are refined integral orders in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ .

### 11.1.2.3. Properties of integral orders

*Proposition 8.* A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  has the single integral order.

*Proof.* This follows from the definition of the integral order of a conceptual.  $\square$

*Proposition 9.* A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  has a finite set of refined integral orders.

*Proof.* This follows from the definition of the refined integral order and the finite number of orders of conceptuials in the context of elements.  $\square$

*Proposition 10.* The integral order in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  is a refined integral order in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$ .

*Proof.* This follows from the definition of the refined integral order of a conceptual.  $\square$

### 11.1.2.4. Notes

Conceptuials of the same concretization order are classified according to their integral orders. Each integral order defines a separate kind of conceptuials.

Conceptuials allow to model ontological elements in detail. Each kind of conceptuials models a separate kind of ontological elements.

## 11.2. Modelling of ontological elements by conceptuials of the order 0

In this section conceptuials of the order 0 is classified according to their integral orders and the ontological elements modelled by conceptuials of this classification is described.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{0\}$  models the individual  $[c_{ncpl} 0]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(0 : f_g)$  models the geometric figure  $f_g$ .

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{0, 1\}$  models the individual  $[c_{ncpl} 0]$  from the concept  $[c_{ncpl} 1]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(0 : f_g, 1 : triangle)$  models the triangle  $f_g$ .

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{1\}$  models the concept  $[c_{ncpl} 1]$ .

$\oplus$  A conceptual  $(1 : triangle)$  models triangles.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{1, 2\}$  models the concept  $[c_{ncpl} 1]$  from the concept space  $[c_{ncpl} 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean)$  models triangles in Euclidean space.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{2\}$  models the concept space  $[c_{ncpl} 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(2 : Euclidean)$  models Euclidean space.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{0, 2\}$  models the individual  $[c_{ncpl} 0]$  from the concept space  $[c_{ncpl} 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(0 : f_g, 2 : Euclidean)$  models the geometric figure  $f_g$  in Euclidean space.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{0, 1, 2\}$  models the individual  $[c_{ncpl} 0]$  from the concept  $[c_{ncpl} 1]$  from the concept space  $[c_{ncpl} 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean)$  models the triangle  $f_g$  in Euclidean space.

Classification of other conceptuials of the order 0 and description of the ontological elements modelled by these conceptuials is performed in a similar way (by the introduction of the concept space space and so on.). For example, a conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  models the individual  $[c_{ncpl} 0]$  from the concept  $[c_{ncpl} 1]$  from the concept space  $[c_{ncpl} 2]$  from the concept space space  $[c_{ncpl} 3]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean, 3 : 2)$  models the triangle  $f_g$  in two-dimensional Euclidean space.

## 11.3. Modelling of ontological elements by conceptuials of the order 1

In this section conceptuials of the order 1 is classified according to their integral orders and the ontological elements modelled by conceptuials of this classification is described.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-1\}$  models the attribute  $[c_{ncpl} -1]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-1 : area)$  models area of geometric figures.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-1, 0\}$  models the attribute  $[c_{ncpl} - 1]$  of the individual  $[c_{ncpl} 0]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-1 : area, 0 : f_g)$  models area of the geometric figure  $f_g$ .

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-1, 0, 1\}$  models the attribute  $[c_{ncpl} - 1]$  of the individual  $[c_{ncpl} 0]$  from the concept  $[c_{ncpl} 1]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle)$  models area of the triangle  $f_g$ .

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-1, 1\}$  models the attribute  $[c_{ncpl} - 1]$  of individuals from the concept  $[c_{ncpl} 1]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-1 : area, 1 : triangle)$  models area of triangles.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$  models the attribute  $[c_{ncpl} - 1]$  of the individual  $[c_{ncpl} 0]$  from the concept  $[c_{ncpl} 1]$  from the concept space  $[c_{ncpl} 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean)$  models area of the triangle  $f_g$  in Euclidean space.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-1, 1, 2\}$  models the attribute  $[c_{ncpl} - 1]$  of individuals from the concept  $[c_{ncpl} 1]$  from the concept space  $[c_{ncpl} 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-1 : area, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean)$  models area of triangles in Euclidean space.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-1, 0, 2\}$  models the attribute  $[c_{ncpl} - 1]$  of the individual  $[c_{ncpl} 0]$  from the concept space  $[c_{ncpl} 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-1 : area, 0 : f_g, 2 : Euclidean)$  models area of the geometric figure  $f_g$  in Euclidean space.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-1, 2\}$  models the attribute  $[c_{ncpl} - 1]$  of individuals from concepts from the concept space  $[c_{ncpl} 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-1 : area, 2 : Euclidean)$  models area of geometric figures in Euclidean space.

Correlation between other kinds of conceptuais of the order 1 and the corresponding kinds of ontological elements is performed in a similar way.

## 11.4. Modelling of ontological elements by conceptuais of the order 2

In this section conceptuais of the order 2 is classified according to their integral orders and the ontological elements modelled by conceptuais of this classification is described.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-2, -1\}$  models the attribute  $[c_{ncpl} - 1]$  in the attribute space  $[c_{ncpl} - 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-2 : inch, -1 : area)$  models area measured in inches.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-2, -1, 0\}$  models the attribute  $[c_{ncpl} - 1]$  of the individual  $[c_{ncpl} 0]$  in the attribute space  $[c_{ncpl} - 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g)$  models area of the geometric figure  $f_g$  measured in inches.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-2, -1, 0, 1\}$  models the attribute  $[c_{ncpl} - 1]$  of the individual  $[c_{ncpl} 0]$  from the concept  $[c_{ncpl} 1]$  in the attribute space  $[c_{ncpl} - 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle)$  models area of the triangle  $f_g$  measured in inches.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-2, -1, 1\}$  models the attribute  $[c_{ncpl} - 1]$  of individuals from the concept  $[c_{ncpl} 1]$  in the attribute space  $[c_{ncpl} - 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-2 : inch, -1 : area, 1 : triangle)$  models area of triangles measured in inches.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2\}$  models the attribute  $[c_{ncpl} - 1]$  of the individual  $[c_{ncpl} 0]$  from the concept  $[c_{ncpl} 1]$  from the concept space  $[c_{ncpl} 2]$  in the attribute space  $[c_{ncpl} - 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean)$  models area of the triangle  $f_g$  in Euclidean space measured in inches.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-2, -1, 1, 2\}$  models the attribute  $[c_{ncpl} - 1]$  of individuals from the concept  $[c_{ncpl} 1]$  from the concept space  $[c_{ncpl} 2]$  in the attribute space  $[c_{ncpl} - 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-2 : inch, -1 : area, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean)$  models area of triangles in Euclidean space measured in inches.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-2, -1, 0, 2\}$  models the attribute  $[c_{ncpl} - 1]$  of the individual  $[c_{ncpl} 0]$  from the concept space  $[c_{ncpl} 2]$  in the attribute space  $[c_{ncpl} - 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-2 : inch, -1 : area, 0 : f_g, 2 : Euclidean)$  models area of the geometric figure  $f_g$  in Euclidean space measured in inches.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-2, -1, 2\}$  models the attribute  $[c_{ncpl} - 1]$  of individuals from concepts from the concept space  $[c_{ncpl} 2]$  in the attribute space  $[c_{ncpl} - 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-2 : inch, -1 : area, 2 : Euclidean)$  models area of geometric figures in Euclidean space measured in inches.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-2, 0\}$  models the individual  $[c_{ncpl} 0]$  in the attribute space  $[c_{ncpl} - 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-2 : inch, 0 : f_g)$  models the geometric figure  $f_g$  with numerical characteristics measured in inches.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-2, 0, 1\}$  models the individual  $[c_{ncpl} 0]$  from the concept  $[c_{ncpl} 1]$  in the attribute space  $[c_{ncpl} - 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-2 : inch, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle)$  models the triangle  $f_g$  with numerical characteristics measured in inches.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-2, 1\}$  models the concept  $[c_{ncpl} 1]$  in the attribute space  $[c_{ncpl} - 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-2 : inch, 1 : triangle)$  models triangles with numerical characteristics measured in inches.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-2, 1, 2\}$  models the concept  $[c_{ncpl} 1]$  from the concept space  $[c_{ncpl} 2]$  in the attribute space  $[c_{ncpl} - 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-2 : inch, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean)$  models triangles in Euclidean space with numerical characteristics measured in inches.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-2, 2\}$  models the concept space  $[c_{ncpl} 2]$  in the attribute space  $[c_{ncpl} - 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-2 : inch, 2 : Euclidean)$  models geometric figures in Euclidean space with numerical characteristics measured in inches.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-2, 0, 2\}$  models the individual  $[c_{ncpl} 0]$  from the concept space  $[c_{ncpl} 2]$  in the attribute space  $[c_{ncpl} - 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-2 : inch, 0 : f_g, 2 : Euclidean)$  models the geometric figure  $f_g$  in Euclidean space with numerical characteristics measured in inches.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} : \{-2, 0, 1, 2\}$  models the individual  $[c_{ncpl} 0]$  from the concept  $[c_{ncpl} 1]$  from the concept space  $[c_{ncpl} 2]$  in the attribute space  $[c_{ncpl} - 2]$ .

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-2 : inch, 0 : f_g, 1 : triangle, 2 : Euclidean)$  models the triangle  $f_g$  in Euclidean space with numerical characteristics measured in inches.

Correlation between other kinds of conceptuials of the order 2 and the corresponding kinds of ontological elements is performed in a similar way.

## 11.5. Modelling of ontological elements by conceptuials of the higher orders

Classification of conceptals of the order 3 or higher and description of the ontological elements modelled by conceptals of this classification is performed in a similar way (by the introduction of the attribute space space and so on.).

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $(-3 : 10, -2 : \textit{inch}, -1 : \textit{area}, 0 : f_g, 1 : \textit{triangle}, 2 : \textit{Euclidean}, 3 : 2)$  models area of the triangle  $f_g$  in two-dimensional Euclidean space measured in inches in decimal system.

## 12. Modelling of relations, types, domains, inheritance

### 12.1. Relations and their instances

Finite binary relations are modelled by direct concepts and their instances are modelled by the elements of the order 0 of these concepts, represented by pairs of elements.

Finite relations of the arity  $n_t$  are modelled by direct concepts and their instances are modelled by the elements of the order 0 of these concepts, represented by sequence elements of the length  $n_t$ .

Finite relations of the variable arity are modelled by direct concepts and their instances are modelled by the elements of the order 0 of these concepts, represented by sequence elements of the variable length.

### 12.2. Types and domains

Finite types are modelled by direct concepts and their values are modelled by the elements of the order 0 of these concepts. Domains as the special kind of finite types are also modelled by direct concepts and their values are modelled by the elements of the order 0 of these concepts.

Types of attributes of the order  $n_t$  are modelled by the special attribute *type* of the order  $n_t + 1$ . Values of this attribute are types.

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncpl} = (-2 : \textit{type}, -1 : \textit{area}, 0 : f_g)$ , and  $s_{tt} = (c_{ncpl} : \textit{real})$ . Then the area of the geometric figure  $f_g$  is a real number in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ .

$\oplus$  Let  $c_{ncpl} = (-2 : \textit{type}, -1 : \textit{area}, 0 : *)$ , and  $s_{tt} = (c_{ncpl} : \textit{real})$ . Then the area of any geometric figure is a real number in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ . The semantics of  $*$  is defined in section ??

### 12.3. Inheritance

#### 12.3.1. Inheritance on elements

The usual inheritance relation on concepts is generalized to the inheritance relation on elements of the same order in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ . It is modelled by the special direct concept *inheritance* and their instances are modelled by the elements of the order 0 of the concept *inheritance*, represented by the triples of elements. Elements of the triple specify the inheriting element, the inherited element and their order. An element  $e_l$  inherits from  $e_{l.1}$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, i_{nt} \rrbracket$  if  $[s_{tt} (0 : (e_l, e_{l.1}, i_{nt}), 1 : inheritance)] \neq und$ .

Inheritance on elements redefines interpretation *value* of conceptals as follows:

- if  $[s_{tt} c_{ncpl}] \neq und$ , then  $[value c_{ncpl} s_{tt}] = [s_{tt} c_{ncpl}]$ ;
- if  $[s_{tt} c_{ncpl}] = und$ ,  $i_{nt}$  is a maximal order in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, element : \rrbracket$ ,  $s_t$  is a set of  $e_l \llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  such that  $[c_{ncpl} i_{nt}]$  inherits from  $e_l$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, i_{nt} \rrbracket$ ,  $s_t \neq \emptyset$ , and  $[value [c_{ncpl} i_{nt} : e_l] s_{tt}] = [value [c_{ncpl} i_{nt} : e_{l.1}] s_{tt}]$  for all  $e_l, e_{l.1} \in s_t$ , then  $[value c_{ncpl} s_{tt}] = [value [c_{ncpl} i_{nt} : e_l] s_{tt}]$ , where  $e_l \in s_t$ ;
- otherwise,  $[value c_{ncpl} s_{tt}] = und$ .

### 12.3.2. Inheritance on direct concepts

The inheritance on direct concepts is the special case of the inheritance on elements.

A concept  $c_{ncp.d}$  inherits from a concept  $c_{o..pt.d.1}$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if  $c_{ncp.d}$  inherits from  $c_{o..pt.d.1}$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, 1 \rrbracket$ .

### 12.3.3. Inheritance on element sequences

The inheritance relation on elements is generalized to the inheritance relation on element sequences. This relation is modelled by the special direct concept *inheritance :: sq* and their instances are modelled by the elements of the order 0 of this concept, represented by the triples of sequence elements of the same length. The elements of the triple specify inheriting elements, inherited elements and their orders. An element  $e_{l.(*)}$  inherits from  $e_{l.(*).1}$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, i_{nt.(*)} \rrbracket$  if  $i_{nt.(*)} = (i_{nt.1}, \dots, i_{nt.n_t})$ ,  $i_{nt.1} < \dots < i_{nt.n_t}$ ,  $[len e_{l.(*)}] = [len e_{l.(*).1}] = n_t$ , and  $[s_{tt} (0 : (e_{l.(*)}, e_{l.(*).1}, i_{nt.(*)}), 1 : inheritance :: sq)] \neq und$ .

Inheritance on ordered elements redefines interpretation *value* of conceptals as follows:

- if  $[s_{tt} c_{ncpl}] \neq und$ , then  $[value c_{ncpl} s_{tt}] = [s_{tt} c_{ncpl}]$ ;
- if
  - $[s_{tt} c_{ncpl}] = und$ ,
  - $i_{nt.1} < \dots < i_{nt.n_t}$  are orders in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, element : \rrbracket$ ,
  - for all  $i_{nt}$  if  $i_{nt} \geq i_{nt.1}$  and  $i_{nt}$  is an order in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, element : \rrbracket$ , then  $i_{nt}$  coincides with one of the numbers  $i_{nt.1}, \dots, i_{nt.n_t}$ ,

–  $s_t$  is a set of  $e_l \llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  such that  $([c_{ncpl} i_{nt.1}], \dots, [c_{ncpl} i_{nt.nt}])$  inherits from  $e_l$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, (i_{nt.1}, \dots, i_{nt.nt}) \rrbracket$ ,

–  $s_t \neq \emptyset$ ,

–  $[value [c_{ncpl} i_{nt.1} : [e_l . 1], \dots, i_{nt.nt} : [e_l . n_t]] s_{tt}] = [value [c_{ncpl} i_{nt.1} : [e_{l.1} . 1], \dots, i_{nt.nt} : [e_{l.1} . n_t]] s_{tt}]$  for each  $e_l, e_{l.1} \in s_t$ ,

then  $[value c_{ncpl} s_{tt}] = [value [c_{ncpl} i_{nt.1} : [e_l . 1], \dots, i_{nt.nt} : [e_l . n_t]] s_{tt}]$ , where  $e_l \in s_t$ ;

• otherwise,  $[value c_{ncpl} s_{tt}] = und$ .

### 13. Generic conceptuais

A generic conceptual defines a set of conceptuais satisfying a certain template and sets the default value for these conceptuais. Conceptuais from this set are called instances of the generic conceptual. The template of the generic conceptual is defined by its form.

#### 13.1. The main definitions

##### 13.1.1. Generic conceptuais

Let  $* \in A_{tm}$ . A conceptual  $c_{ncpl} \llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  is a generic conceptual in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $ord \llbracket c_{ncpl} \rrbracket$  such that  $[c_{ncpl} ord] \in \{*, (*, t_p), (*, t_p, p_{rm}), (*, *, p_{rm})\}$ . The element  $p_{l.s}$  of the form  $[c_{ncpl} ord]$  from this definition is called a substitution place in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl}, s_{tt}, ord \rrbracket$ . The number  $ord$  is called an order in  $\llbracket p_{l.s}, c_{ncpl}, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ . The elements  $t_p$  and  $p_{rm}$  are called a type and parameter in  $\llbracket p_{l.s}, c_{ncpl}, s_{tt}, ord \rrbracket$ .

##### 13.1.2. Kinds of generic conceptuais

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.g}$  is partially typed in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if there exist  $p_{l.s}$ ,  $t_p$  and  $ord$  such that  $p_{l.s}$  is a substitution place in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl.g}, s_{tt}, ord \rrbracket$  and  $t_p$  is a type in  $\llbracket p_{l.s}, c_{ncpl.g}, s_{tt}, ord \rrbracket$ .

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.g}$  is typed in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if for all  $p_{l.s}$  and  $ord$  if  $p_{l.s}$  is a substitution place in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl.g}, s_{tt}, ord \rrbracket$ , then there exists  $t_p$  such that  $t_p$  is a type in  $\llbracket p_{l.s}, c_{ncpl.g}, s_{tt}, ord \rrbracket$ .

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.g}$  is parametric in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if there exist  $p_{l.s}$ ,  $p_{rm}$  and  $ord$  such that  $p_{l.s}$  is a substitution place in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl.g}, s_{tt}, ord \rrbracket$  and  $p_{rm}$  is a parameter in  $\llbracket p_{l.s}, c_{ncpl.g}, s_{tt}, ord \rrbracket$ .

##### 13.1.3. Instances of generic conceptuais

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl}$  is an instance in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl.g}, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ , if the following properties hold:

• if  $[c_{ncpl.g} i_{nt}]$  is not a substitution place in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl.g}, s_{tt}, i_{nt} \rrbracket$ , then  $[c_{ncpl} i_{nt}] = [c_{ncpl.g} i_{nt}]$ ;

- if  $[c_{ncpl.g} \ i_{nt}]$  is a substitution place in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl.g}, s_{tt}, i_{nt} \rrbracket$ , then  $[c_{ncpl} \ i_{nt}]$  is an element in  $\llbracket s_{tt}, i_{nt} \rrbracket$ ;
- if  $[c_{ncpl.g} \ i_{nt}] \in \{(*, t_p), (*, t_p, p_{rm})\}$ , then  $[c_{ncpl} \ i_{nt}]$  is an element in  $\llbracket concept : t_p, s_{tt}, concept-order : 1, element-order : 0 \rrbracket$ ;
- if  $p_{rm}$  is a parameter in  $\llbracket pl.s.1, c_{ncpl.g}, s_{tt}, Or.e.1 \rrbracket$  and  $\llbracket pl.s.2, c_{ncpl.g}, s_{tt}, Or.e.2 \rrbracket$ , then  $[c_{ncpl} \ Or.e.1] = [c_{ncpl} \ Or.e.2]$ .

### 13.1.4. States with generic conceptuials

A state  $s_{tt}$  is a state with generic conceptuials, if the following properties hold:

- (*the consistency property*) if  $c_{ncl.g.1} \neq c_{ncl.g.2}$ , then there is no  $c_{ncpl}$  such that  $c_{ncpl}$  is an instance of  $c_{ncl.g.1}$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  and  $c_{ncpl}$  is an instance of  $c_{ncl.g.2}$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$ ;
- interpretation *value* of conceptuials is redefined as follows:
  - if  $[s_{tt} \ c_{ncpl}] \neq und$ , then  $[value \ c_{ncpl} \ s_{tt}] = [s_{tt} \ c_{ncpl}]$ ;
  - if  $[s_{tt} \ c_{ncpl}] = und$  and  $c_{ncpl}$  is an instance in  $\llbracket c_{ncpl.g}, s_{tt} \rrbracket$ , then  $[value \ c_{ncpl} \ s_{tt}] = [s_{tt} \ c_{ncpl.g}]$ ;
  - otherwise,  $[value \ c_{ncpl} \ s_{tt}] = und$ .

## 13.2. Examples of generic conceptuials

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.g} : \{-1, 0 : *, 1\}$  models the property that the value of the attribute  $[c_{ncpl.g} \ -1]$  of individuals from the concept  $[c_{ncpl.g} \ 1]$  equals  $[s_{tt} \ c_{ncpl.g}]$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if it is not defined explicitly.

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $c_{ncpl.g} = (-1 : area, 0 : *, 1 : triangle)$  models the property that area of triangles equals  $[s_{tt} \ c_{ncpl.g}]$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if it is not defined explicitly.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.g} : \{-1, 0 : *\}$  models the property that the value of the attribute  $[c_{ncpl.g} \ -1]$  of individuals equals  $[s_{tt} \ c_{ncpl.g}]$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if it is not defined explicitly.

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $c_{ncpl.g} = (-1 : area, 0 : *)$  models the property that area of geometric figures equals  $[s_{tt} \ c_{ncpl.g}]$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if it is not defined explicitly.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.g} : \{0 : *, 1\}$  models the property that the value of individuals from the concept  $[c_{ncpl.g} \ 1]$  equals  $[s_{tt} \ c_{ncpl.g}]$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if it is not defined explicitly.

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $c_{ncpl.g} = (0 : *, 1 : triangle)$  models the property that the value of triangles equals  $[s_{tt} \ c_{ncpl.g}]$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if it is not defined explicitly. What is the value of a triangle depends on interpretation.

### 13.3. Modelling of ontological elements and their properties based on generic conceptals

Generic conceptals together with attributes allow to model ontological elements and their properties in more detail.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.g} : \{-2 : type, -1, 0 : *, 1\}$  models the property that the type of the attribute  $[c_{ncpl.g} - 1]$  of individuals from the concept  $[c_{ncpl.g} 1]$  equals  $[s_{tt} c_{ncpl.g}]$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if it is not defined for individuals explicitly.

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $c_{ncpl.g} = (-2 : type, -1 : area, 0 : *, 1 : triangle)$  models the property that the type of the attribute  $area$  of triangles equals  $[s_{tt} c_{ncpl.g}]$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if it is not defined for triangles explicitly.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.g} : \{-2 : type, -1, 0 : *\}$  models the property that the type of the attribute  $[c_{ncpl.g} - 1]$  of individuals equals  $[s_{tt} c_{ncpl.g}]$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if it is not defined for individuals explicitly.

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $c_{ncpl.g} = (-2 : type, -1 : area, 0 : *)$  models the property that the type of the attribute  $area$  of geometric figures equals  $[s_{tt} c_{ncpl.g}]$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if it is not defined for geometric figures explicitly.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.g} : \{-2 : type, 0 : *\}$  models the property that the type of individuals equals  $[s_{tt} c_{ncpl.g}]$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if it is not defined for individuals explicitly.

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $c_{ncpl.g} = (-2 : type, 0 : *)$  models the property that the type of geometric figures equals  $[s_{tt} c_{ncpl.g}]$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if it is not defined for geometric figures explicitly.

A conceptual  $c_{ncpl.g} : \{-2 : type, 0 : *, 1\}$  models the property that the type of individuals from the concept  $[c_{ncpl.g} 1]$  equals  $[s_{tt} c_{ncpl.g}]$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if it is not defined for such individuals explicitly.

$\oplus$  The conceptual  $c_{ncpl.g} = (-2 : type, 0 : *, 1 : triangle)$  models the property that the type of triangles equals  $[s_{tt} c_{ncpl.g}]$  in  $\llbracket s_{tt} \rrbracket$  if it is not defined for triangles explicitly.

## 14. The CCSL language

The CCSL language (Conceptual Configuration System Language) is a basic language of CCSs. Interpretable elements of CCSL are called basic elements of CCSs.

Let  $s_b \subseteq (x : x_0, y : y_0, z : z_0, u : u_0, v : v_0, w : w_0, x_1 : x_{1,0}, \dots, x_{n_t} : x_{n_t,0}, conf :: in : c_{nf})$ .

### 14.1. Syntax of CCSL

An object  $o_b$  is an atom in CCSL if

- $o_b$  is a sequence of Unicode symbols except for the whitespace symbols and the symbols ”, ’, (, ), ;, ,, and :, or
- $o_b$  is a special atom, or
- $o_b$  has the form ” $o_{b.1}$ ” called a string, where  $o_{b.1}$  is a sequence of Unicode symbols in which each occurrence of the symbol ” is preceded by the symbol ’ and each occurrence of the symbol ’ is doubled.

The set  $A_{to.s}$  of special atoms includes the object ::= and can be extended.

An object  $o_b$  is an element in CCSL if  $o_b \in A_{tm}$ ,  $o_b = e_l : e_{l.1}$ ,  $o_b = (e_{l.*})$ , or  $o_b = e_l :: e_{l.1}$ .

The whitespace symbols and the semicolon in CCSL are element delimiters along with comma. For example,  $(e_{l.1}, e_{l.2})$ ,  $(e_{l.1}; e_{l.2})$  and  $(e_{l.1} e_{l.2})$  represent the same element.

An element  $e_{l.a}$  is a conceptual in CCSL if all its attributes are integers.

An element  $e_{l.a}$  is a conceptual state in CCSL if all its attributes are conceptuais.

An element  $e_{l.a}$  is a conceptual configuration in CCSL if  $[image e_{l.a}] \subseteq S_{tt}$ .

The element  $(pattern p_t var (v_{r.*}) seq (v_{r.s.*}))$  in CCSL represents the pattern specification  $(p_t, (v_{r.*}), (v_{r.s.*}))$ .

The element  $(definition p_t var (v_{r.*}) seq (v_{r.s.*}) then b_d) :: name :: n_m$  in CCSL represents the element definition  $(p_t, (v_{r.*}), (v_{r.s.*}), b_d)$  with the name  $n_m$ .

For simplicity, we omit the names of interpretations and definitions below.

## 14.2. The special forms for interpretations and definitions

In this section we define the special forms for interpretations and definitions used below.

The form  $(interpretation p_t var (v_{r.*}) seq (v_{r.s.*}) then f_n) :: name :: n_m$  denotes the interpretation  $(p_t, (v_{r.*}), (v_{r.s.*}), f_n)$  with the name  $n_m$ .

The objects  $var (v_{r.*})$  and  $seq (v_{r.s.*})$  in the form  $(interpretation \dots)$  can be omitted. The omitted objects correspond to  $var ()$  and  $seq ()$ , respectively.

Let  $\{v_{r.*}\}$ ,  $\{v_{r.s.*}\}$ ,  $\{v_{r.*.1}\}$  and  $\{v_{r.*.2}\}$  are pairwise disjoint, and  $\{v_{r.*.3}\} \subseteq \{v_{r.*}\} \cup \{v_{r.*.1}\} \cup \{v_{r.*.2}\}$ . The form  $(definition p_t var (v_{r.*}) seq (v_{r.s.*}) abn (v_{r.*.1}) und (v_{r.*.2}) val (v_{r.*.3}) where c_{nd} then b_d)$  called a definition form is defined as follows:

- $(definition p_t var (v_{r.*}) seq (v_{r.s.*}) und (v_{r.*.1}) abn (v_{r.*.2}) val (v_{r.*.3}) where c_{nd} then b_d)$  is a shortcut for  $(definition p_t var (v_{r.*}) seq (v_{r.s.*}) abn (v_{r.*.1}) und (v_{r.*.2}) val (v_{r.*.3}) then (if c_{nd} then b_d else und))$ ;

- (*definition*  $p_t \text{ var } (v_{r.*}) \text{ seq } (v_{r.s.*}) \text{ und } (v_{r.*.1}) \text{ abn } (v_{r.*.2}) \text{ val } (v_{r.*.3}, v_r) \text{ then } b_d$ ) is a shortcut for (*definition*  $p_t \text{ var } (v_{r.*}) \text{ seq } (v_{r.s.*}) \text{ und } (v_{r.*.1}) \text{ abn } (v_{r.*.2}) \text{ val } (v_{r.*.3}) \text{ then } (let w be } v_r \text{ in } [subst (v_r :: * : w) b_d])$ ), where  $w$  is a new element that does not occur in this definition;
- (*definition*  $p_t \text{ var } (v_{r.*}) \text{ seq } (v_{r.s.*}) \text{ und } (v_{r.*.1}) \text{ abn } (v_{r.*.2}) \text{ val } () \text{ then } b_d$ ) is a shortcut for (*definition*  $p_t \text{ var } (v_{r.*}) \text{ seq } (v_{r.s.*}) \text{ und } (v_{r.*.1}) \text{ abn } (v_{r.*.2}) \text{ then } b_d$ );
- (*definition*  $p_t \text{ var } (v_{r.*}) \text{ seq } (v_{r.s.*}) \text{ und } (v_{r.*.1}, v_r) \text{ abn } (v_{r.*.2}) \text{ then } b_d$ ) is a shortcut for (*definition*  $p_t \text{ var } (v_{r.*}) \text{ seq } (v_{r.s.*}) \text{ und } (v_{r.*.1}) \text{ abn } (v_{r.*.2}) \text{ then } (if (v_r \text{ is undefined}) \text{ then und else } b_d)$ );
- (*definition*  $p_t \text{ var } (v_{r.*}) \text{ seq } (v_{r.s.*}) \text{ und } () \text{ abn } (v_{r.*.2}) \text{ then } b_d$ ) is a shortcut for (*definition*  $p_t \text{ var } (v_{r.*}) \text{ seq } (v_{r.s.*}) \text{ abn } (v_{r.*.2}) \text{ then } b_d$ );
- (*definition*  $p_t \text{ var } (v_{r.*}) \text{ seq } (v_{r.s.*}) \text{ abn } (v_{r.*.2}, v_r) \text{ then } b_d$ ) is a shortcut for (*definition*  $p_t \text{ var } (v_{r.*}) \text{ seq } (v_{r.s.*}) \text{ abn } (v_{r.*.2}) \text{ then } (if (v_r \text{ is abnormal}) \text{ then } v_r \text{ else } b_d)$ );
- (*definition*  $p_t \text{ var } (v_{r.*}) \text{ seq } (v_{r.s.*}) \text{ abn } () \text{ then } b_d$ ) is a shortcut for (*definition*  $p_t \text{ var } (v_{r.*}) \text{ seq } (v_{r.s.*}) \text{ then } b_d$ ).

The element  $c_{nd}$  specifies the restriction on the values of the pattern variables. The undefined value is propagated through the variables of  $v_{r.*.1}$ . Abnormal values are propagated through the variables of  $v_{r.*.2}$ . The special element  $v_r :: *$  references to the value of element associated with the pattern variable  $v_r$ . A pattern variable is evaluated if the element associated with it is evaluated. Thus, the sequence  $v_{r.*.3}$  contains evaluated pattern variables. A pattern variable is quoted if the element associated with it is not evaluated. Let  $F_{rm.d}$  be a set of definition forms.

The objects  $\text{var } (v_{r.*})$ ,  $\text{seq } (v_{r.s.*})$ ,  $\text{und } (v_{r.*.1})$ ,  $\text{abn } (v_{r.*.2})$ ,  $\text{val } (v_{r.*.3})$  and *where*  $c_{nd}$  in the form (*definition* ...) can be omitted. The omitted objects correspond to  $\text{var } ()$ ,  $\text{seq } ()$ ,  $\text{und } ()$ ,  $\text{abn } ()$ ,  $\text{val } ()$  and *where true*, respectively.

## 15. Semantics of interpretable elements in CCSL

### 15.1. Abnormal elements operations

The element *und* is defined as follows:

(*definition und then und :: q*).

The element  $e_{xc}$  is defined as follows:

(*definition x var (x) where (x is exception) then x :: q :: name :: ("@" , exception)*).

The definition satisfies the property:  $n_m \prec_{\llbracket ord.intr \rrbracket}$  ("@" , *exception*) for each  $n_m$  such that  $n_m$  is a name of an atomic element interpretation or element definition with the pattern distinct from  $v_r$ , where  $v_r$  is a variable of this pattern.

The element  $e_l :: q$  is defined as follows:

(*interpretation*  $x :: q \text{ var } (x) \text{ then } f_n$ ),

where  $[f_n \ s_b] = x_0$ .

## 15.2. Statements

The element (*if*  $x$  *then*  $y$  *else*  $z$ ) is defined as follows:

(*definition* (*if*  $x$  *then*  $y$  *else*  $z$ ) *var* ( $x, y, z$ ) *val* ( $x$ )

*then* (*if*  $x :: * \text{ then } y \text{ else } z :: atm$ );

(*interpretation* (*if*  $x$  *then*  $y$  *else*  $z$ ) *:: atm var* ( $x, y, z$ ) *then*  $f_n$ ),

where  $[f_n \ s_b] = [if [x_0 \neq und] \text{ then } [value \ y_0 [s_b \ conf :: in]] \text{ else } [value \ z_0 [s_b \ conf :: in]]]$ .

The element (*if*  $x$  *then*  $y$  *elseif*  $z$  *then*  $u \dots$  *else*  $v$ ) is defined as follows:

(*definition* (*if*  $x$  *then*  $y$  *elseif*  $z$ ) *var* ( $x, y, z$ ) *seq* ( $z$ )

*then* (*if*  $x$  *then*  $y$  *else* (*if*  $z$ ))).

The element (*let*  $x$  *be*  $y$  *in*  $z$ ) is defined as follows:

(*interpretation* (*let*  $x$  *be*  $y$  *in*  $z$ ) *var* ( $x, y, z$ ) *then*  $f_n$ ),

where  $[f_n \ s_b] = [value [subst (x_0 : [value \ y_0 [s_b \ conf :: in]]) \ z_0] [s_b \ conf :: in]]$ .

The element  $e_l$  of the form (*let*  $:: seq$   $x$  *be*  $y$  *in*  $z$ ), where  $x \in E_{l.(*)}$ ,  $y \in E_{l.(*)}$ , and  $[len \ x] = [len \ y]$ , is defined by the rule

(*rule* (*let*  $:: seq$   $x, y$  *be*  $z, u$  *in*  $v$ ) *var* ( $x, z, v$ ) *seq* ( $y, u$ )

*then* (*let*  $x$  *be*  $z$  *in* (*let*  $:: seq$   $y$  *be*  $u$  *in*  $v$ )));

(*rule* (*let*  $:: seq$  *be* *in*  $v$ ) *var* ( $v$ ) *then*  $v$ ).

The elements  $x, y$  and  $z$  are called a substitution variables specification, substitution values specification and substitution body in  $\llbracket e_l \rrbracket$ . The elements of  $x$  and  $y$  are called substitution variables and substitution values in  $\llbracket e_l \rrbracket$ .

## 15.3. Characteristic functions for defined concepts

An object  $d_{f.c}$  is a concept definition if  $d_{f.c}$  is an interpretation of the form (*interpretation* ( $e_{l.1}$  *is*  $e_{l.2}$ ) *var* ( $v_{r.*}$ ) *seq* ( $v_{r.s.*}$ ) *then*  $f_n$ ) *:: name* *::*  $n_m$ , or  $d_{f.c}$  is a definition of the form (*definition* ( $e_{l.1}$  *is*  $e_{l.2}$ ) *var* ( $v_{r.*}$ ) *seq* ( $v_{r.s.*}$ ) *then*  $b_d$ ) *:: name* *::*  $n_m$ . Concept definitions specify

concepts and their instances. Concepts specified by them are called defined concepts. The elements  $e_{l.1}$  and  $e_{l.2}$  are called an instance pattern and concept pattern in  $\llbracket d_{f.c} \rrbracket$ . The element  $(e_{l.1} \text{ is } e_{l.2})$  is called a characteristic function in  $\llbracket d_{f.c} \rrbracket$ . Let  $D_{f.c}$  be a set of concept definitions.

An element  $c_{ncp.d}$  is a defined concept in  $\llbracket d_{f.c}, s_b \rrbracket$  if  $c_{ncp}$  is an instance in  $\llbracket (e_{l.2}, \text{var } (v_{r.*}) \text{ seq } (v_{r.s.*})), m_t, s_b \rrbracket$ . An element  $c_{ncp.d}$  is a defined concept in  $\llbracket d_{f.c} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $s_b$  such that  $c_{ncp.d}$  is a defined concept in  $\llbracket d_{f.c}, s_b \rrbracket$ . An element  $c_{ncp.d}$  is a defined concept in  $\llbracket c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $d_{f.c} \llbracket c_{nf} \rrbracket$  such that  $c_{ncp.d}$  is a defined concept in  $\llbracket d_{f.c} \rrbracket$ . Let  $C_{ncp.d}$  be a set of defined concepts.

An element  $i_{nsth}$  is an instance in  $\llbracket d_{f.c}, s_b \rrbracket$  if  $i_{nsth}$  is an instance in  $\llbracket (e_{l.1}, \text{var } (v_{r.*}) \text{ seq } (v_{r.s.*})), m_t, s_b \rrbracket$ . An element  $i_{nsth}$  is an instance in  $\llbracket d_{f.c} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $s_b$  such that  $c_{ncp.d}$  is an instance in  $\llbracket d_{f.c}, s_b \rrbracket$ .

An element  $i_{nsth}$  is an instance in  $\llbracket c_{ncp.d}, c_{nf}, d_{f.c} \rrbracket$  if  $i_{nsth}$  is an instance in  $\llbracket d_{f.c}, c_{ncp.d} \rrbracket$  is a defined concept in  $\llbracket d_{f.c} \rrbracket$ , and  $[value (i_{nsth} \text{ is } c_{ncp.d}) c_{nf} (n_m)] \neq und$ . An element  $i_{nsth}$  is an instance in  $\llbracket c_{ncp.d}, c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if there exists  $d_{f.c}$  such that  $i_{nsth}$  is an instance in  $\llbracket c_{ncp.d}, c_{nf}, d_{f.c} \rrbracket$ . An element  $c_{ncp.d}$  is an instance in  $\llbracket c_{nf}, m_t \rrbracket$  if there exists  $c_{ncp.d}$  such that  $i_{nsth}$  is an instance in  $\llbracket c_{ncp.d}, c_{nf} \rrbracket$ . Let  $I_{nsth}$  be a set of instances.

A set  $s_t$  is called a content in  $\llbracket c_{ncp.d}, c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if  $s_t$  is a set of all  $i_{nsth}$  such that  $i_{nsth}$  is an instance in  $\llbracket c_{ncp.d}, c_{nf} \rrbracket$ . Let  $[content c_{ncp.d} c_{nf}]$  denote the content in  $\llbracket c_{ncp.d}, c_{nf} \rrbracket$ .

The notion of defined concepts is extended to the definitions of the form  $(definition (e_{l.1} \text{ is } e_{l.2}) \text{ var } (v_{r.*}) \text{ seq } (v_{r.s.*}) \text{ und } (v_{r.*.1}) \text{ val } (v_{r.*.3}) \text{ where } c_{nd} \text{ then } b_d)$ . Let  $d_f$  have this form. An element  $c_{ncp.d}$  is a defined concept in  $\llbracket d_f, s_b \rrbracket$  if  $c_{ncp.d}$  is a defined concept in  $\llbracket d_{f.1}, s_b \rrbracket$ , where  $d_{f.1}$  is a definition of the form  $(definition (e_{l.1} \text{ is } e_{l.2}) \text{ var } (v_{r.*}) \text{ seq } (v_{r.s.*}) \text{ then } b_{d.1})$  such that  $d_f$  is reduced to  $d_{f.1}$ .

The element  $(x \text{ is atom})$  specifying that  $x$  is an atom is defined as follows:

$(interpretation (x \text{ is atom}) \text{ var } (x) \text{ then } f_n)$ ,

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [x_0 \in A_{tm}] \text{ then true else und}]$ .

The element  $(x \text{ is update})$  specifying that  $x$  is an element update is defined as follows:

$(interpretation (x \text{ is update}) \text{ var } (x) \text{ then } f_n)$ ,

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [x_0 \in U_{p.e}] \text{ then true else und}]$ .

The element  $(x \text{ is multi-attribute})$  specifying that  $x$  is a multi-attribute element is defined as follows:

$(interpretation (x \text{ is multi-attribute}) \text{ var } (x) \text{ then } f_n)$ ,

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [x_0 \in E_{l.ma}] \text{ then true else und}]$ .

The element (*x is attribute*) specifying that  $x$  is an attribute element is defined as follows:

(*interpretation (x is attribute) var (x) then  $f_n$* ),

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [x_0 \in E_{l.a}] then true else und]$ .

The element (*x is sorted*) specifying that  $x$  is a sorted element is defined as follows:

(*interpretation (x is sorted) var (x) then  $f_n$* ),

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [x_0 \in E_{l.s}] then true else und]$ .

The element (*x is undefined*) specifying that  $x$  equals *und* is defined as follows:

(*interpretation (x is undefined) var (x) then  $f_n$* ),

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [x_0 = und] then true else und]$ .

The element (*x is defined*) specifying that  $x$  does not equal *und* is defined as follows:

(*interpretation (x is defined) var (x) then  $f_n$* ),

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [x_0 \neq und] then true else und]$ .

The element (*x is exception*) specifying that  $x$  is an exception is defined as follows:

(*interpretation (x is exception) var (x) then  $f_n$* ),

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [x_0 \in E_{xc}] then true else und]$ .

The element (*x is normal*) specifying that  $x$  is a normal element is defined as follows:

(*interpretation (x is normal) var (x) then  $f_n$* ),

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [x_0 \in E_{l.n}] then true else und]$ .

The element (*x is abnormal*) specifying that  $x$  is an abnormal element is defined as follows:

(*interpretation (x is abnormal) var (x) then  $f_n$* ),

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [x_0 \in E_{l.ab}] then true else und]$ .

The element (*x is sequence*) specifying that  $x$  is a sequence element is defined as follows:

(*interpretation (x is sequence) var (x) then  $f_n$* ),

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [x_0 \in E_{l.(*)}] then true else und]$ .

The element (*x is set*) specifying that the elements of the sequence element  $x$  are pairwise distinct is defined as follows:

(*definition (x is set) var (x) where (x is sequence) then (x is set) :: atm*);

(*interpretation (x is set) :: atm var (x) then  $f_n$* ),

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [[x_0 . n_{t.1}] \neq [x_0 . n_{t.2}] for all  $n_{t.1}$  and  $n_{t.2}$  such that  $n_{t.1} \neq n_{t.2}, n_{t.1} \leq [len x_0]$  and  $n_{t.2} \leq [len x_0]$ ] then true else und].$

The element (*x is empty*) specifying that  $x$  is an empty element is defined as follows:

(*definition (x is empty) var (x) then (x :: q = ())*).

The element (*x is nonempty*) specifying that  $x$  is not an empty element is defined as follows:  
(*definition (x is nonempty) var (x) then (x :: q != ())*).

The element (*x is conceptual*) specifying that  $x$  is a conceptual is defined as follows:  
(*interpretation (x is conceptual) var (x) then  $f_n$* ),

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [x_0 \in C_{ncpl}] then true else und]$ .

The element (*x is (conceptual in y)*) specifying that  $x$  is a conceptual in the context of the state  $y$  is defined as follows:

(*definition (x is (conceptual in y)) var (x, y)*

*where ((x is conceptual) and (y is state)) then (x is conceptual in y) :: atm*);

(*interpretation (x is (conceptual in y)) :: atm var (x, y) then  $f_n$* ),

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [x_0 \in C_{ncpl}[[y_0]]] then true else und]$ .

The element (*x is state*) specifying that  $x$  is a conceptual state is defined as follows:

(*interpretation (x is state) var (x) then  $f_n$* ),

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [x_0 \in S_{tt}] then true else und]$ .

The element (*x is configuration*) specifying that  $x$  is a conceptual configuration is defined as follows:

(*interpretation (x is configuration) var (x) then  $f_n$* ),

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [x_0 \in C_{nf}] then true else und]$ .

The element (*x is nat*) specifying that  $x$  is a natural number is defined as follows:

(*interpretation (x is nat) var (x) then  $f_n$* ),

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [x_0 \in N_t] then true else und]$ .

The element (*x is nat0*) specifying that  $x$  is either a natural number, or a zero is defined as follows:

(*interpretation (x is nat0) var (x) then  $f_n$* ),

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [x_0 \in N_{t0}] then true else und]$ .

The element (*x is int*) specifying that  $x$  is an integer is defined as follows:

(*interpretation (x is int) var (x) then  $f_n$* ),

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [x_0 \in I_{nt}] then true else und]$ .

The element (*x is (satisfiable in y)*) specifying that  $x$  is satisfiable in the context of variables  $y$  is defined as follows:

(*definition (x is (satisfiable in y)) var (x, y) where (y is sequence)*

*then (x is (satisfiable in y)) :: atm*);

(interpretation  $(x \text{ is (satisfiable in } y)) :: atm \text{ var } (x, y) \text{ then } f_n$ ),

where  $[f_n \ s_b] = [if [x_0 \text{ is satisfiable in } \llbracket (y_0, [s_b \ conf :: in]) \rrbracket]] \text{ then true else und}]$ .

The element  $(x \text{ is (valid in } y))$  specifying that  $x$  is valid in the context of variables  $y$  is defined as follows:

(definition  $(x \text{ is (valid in } y)) \text{ var } (x, y) \text{ where } (y \text{ is sequence})$

$\text{then } (x \text{ is (valid in } y)) :: atm$ );

(interpretation  $(x \text{ is (valid in } y)) :: atm \text{ var } (x, y) \text{ then } f_n$ ),

where  $[f_n \ s_b] = [if [x_0 \text{ is valid in } \llbracket (y_0, [s_b \ conf :: in]) \rrbracket]] \text{ then true else und}]$ .

The element  $(x \text{ is (sequence } y))$  specifying that  $x$  is a sequence element such that the value in  $\llbracket (e_l \text{ is } y) \rrbracket$  does not equal *und* for each element  $e_l$  of  $x$  is defined as follows:

(definition  $((x \ y) \text{ is (sequence } z)) \text{ var } (x, z) \text{ seq } (y)$

$\text{then } ((x \text{ is } z) \text{ and } ((y) \text{ is (sequence } z)))$ );

(definition  $(()) \text{ is (sequence } x)) \text{ var } (x) \text{ then true}$ ).

## 15.4. Elements operations

The element  $()$  is defined as follows:

(definition  $() \text{ then } () :: q$ ).

The element  $(len \ x)$  specifying the length of the element  $x$  is defined as follows:

(definition  $(len \ x) \text{ var } (x) \text{ val } (x) \text{ then } (len \ x :: *) :: atm$ );

(interpretation  $(len \ x) :: atm \text{ var } (x) \text{ then } f_n$ ),

where

- if  $x_0 \in A_{tm} \cup U_{p.e} \cup E_{l.s}$ , then  $[f_n \ s_b] = 1$ ;
- if  $x_0 = (e_{l.*})$ , then  $[f_n \ s_b] = [len \ e_{l.*}]$ .

The element  $(x = y)$  specifying the equality of the elements  $x$  and  $y$  is defined as follows:

(definition  $(x = y) \text{ var } (x, y) \text{ val } (x, y)$

$\text{then } (x :: * = y :: *) :: atm$ );

(interpretation  $(x = y) :: atm \text{ var } (x, y) \text{ then } f_n$ ),

where

- if  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  are equal atoms, then  $[f_n \ s_b] = true$ ;
- if  $x_0 \in U_{p.e}$ ,  $y_0 \in U_{p.e}$ ,  $a_{rg}\llbracket x_0 \rrbracket = a_{rg}\llbracket y_0 \rrbracket$ , and  $v_l\llbracket x_0 \rrbracket = v_l\llbracket y_0 \rrbracket$ , then  $[f_n \ s_b] = true$ ;
- if  $x_0 \in E_{l.s}$ ,  $y_0 \in E_{l.s}$ ,  $e_l\llbracket x_0 \rrbracket = e_l\llbracket y_0 \rrbracket$ , and  $s_{rt}\llbracket x_0 \rrbracket = s_{rt}\llbracket y_0 \rrbracket$ , then  $[f_n \ s_b] = true$ ;
- if  $x_0 \in E_{l.(*)}$ ,  $y_0 \in E_{l.(*)}$ , and  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  are equal sequences, then  $[f_n \ s_b] = true$ ;

- otherwise,  $[f_n s_b] = und$ .

The element  $(x \neq y)$  specifying the inequality of the elements  $x$  and  $y$  is defined in the similar way.

The element  $(x . y)$  specifying the  $y$ -th element of the sequence element  $x$  is defined as follows:

(definition  $(x . y)$  var  $(x, y)$  val  $(x, y)$ )

where  $((x :: * \text{ is sequence}) \text{ and } (y :: * \text{ is nat})) \text{ then } (x :: * . y :: *) :: atm);$

(interpretation  $(x . y) :: atm$  var  $(x, y)$  then  $f_n$ ),

where  $[f_n s_b] = [x_0 . y_0]$ .

The element  $(x .. y)$  specifying the value of the attribute element  $x$  for the attribute  $y$  is defined as follows:

(definition  $(x .. y)$  var  $(x, y)$  val  $(x)$  where  $(x :: * \text{ is attribute})$ )

then  $(x :: * .. y) :: atm);$

(interpretation  $(x .. y) :: atm$  var  $(x, y)$  then  $f_n$ ),

where  $[f_n s_b] = [x_0 y_0]$ .

The element  $(x + y)$  specifying the concatenation of the sequence elements  $x$  and  $y$  is defined as follows:

(definition  $(x + y)$  var  $(x, y)$  val  $(x, y)$ )

where  $((x :: * \text{ is sequence}) \text{ and } (y :: * \text{ is sequence})) \text{ then } (x :: * + y :: *) :: atm);$

(interpretation  $(x + y) :: atm$  var  $(x, y)$  then  $f_n$ ),

where  $[f_n s_b] = (e_{l.*} e_{l.1.*})$  for some  $e_{l.*}$  and  $e_{l.1.*}$  such that  $x_0 = (e_{l.*})$  and  $y_0 = e_{l.1.*}$ .

The element  $(x . + y)$  specifying the addition of the element  $x$  to the head of the sequence element  $y$  is defined as follows:

(definition  $(x . + y)$  var  $(x, y)$  val  $(x, y)$  where  $(y :: * \text{ is sequence})$ )

then  $(x :: * . + y :: *) :: atm);$

(interpretation  $(x . + y) :: atm$  var  $(x, y)$  then  $f_n$ ),

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [y_0 = (e_{l.*}) \text{ for some } e_{l.*}] \text{ then } (x_0 e_{l.*}) \text{ else } und]$ .

The element  $(x . + :: set y)$  specifying the addition of the element  $x$  to the head of the sequence element  $y$  representing a set is defined as follows:

(definition  $(x . + :: set y)$  var  $(x, y)$  val  $(x, y)$  where  $(y :: * \text{ is set})$ )

then  $(x :: * . + :: set y :: *) :: atm);$

(interpretation  $(x . + :: set y) :: atm$  var  $(x, y)$  then  $f_n$ ),

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [y_0 = (e_{l.*}) \text{ for some } e_{l.*}] \text{ then } [if [x_0 \in e_{l.*}] \text{ then } (e_{l.*}) \text{ else } (x_0 \ e_{l.*})] \text{ else und}]$ .

The element  $(x + . y)$  specifying the addition of the element  $y$  to the tail of the sequence element  $x$  is defined as follows:

*(definition  $(x + . y)$  var  $(x, y)$  val  $(x, y)$  where  $(x :: * \text{ is sequence})$*

*then  $(x :: * + . y :: *) :: atm$ );*

*(interpretation  $(x + . y) :: atm$  var  $(x, y)$  then  $f_n$ ),*

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [x_0 = (e_{l.*}) \text{ for some } e_{l.*}] \text{ then } (e_{l.*} \ y_0) \text{ else und}]$ .

The element  $(x + . :: set y)$  specifying the addition of the element  $y$  to the tail of the sequence element  $x$  representing a set is defined as follows:

*(definition  $(x + . :: set y)$  var  $(x, y)$  val  $(x, y)$  where  $(x :: * \text{ is set})$*

*then  $(x :: * + . :: set y :: *) :: atm$ );*

*(interpretation  $(x + . :: set y) :: atm$  var  $(x, y)$  then  $f_n$ ),*

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [x_0 = (e_{l.*}) \text{ for some } e_{l.*}] \text{ then } [if [y_0 \in e_{l.*}] \text{ then } (e_{l.*}) \text{ else } (e_{l.*} \ y_0)] \text{ else und}]$ .

The element  $(x - . :: set y)$  specifying the deletion of the element  $y$  from the sequence element  $x$  representing a set is defined as follows:

*(definition  $(x - . :: set y)$  var  $(x, y)$  val  $(x, y)$  where  $(x :: * \text{ is set})$*

*then  $(x :: * - . :: set y :: *) :: atm$ );*

*(interpretation  $(x - . :: set y) :: atm$  var  $(x, y)$  then  $f_n$ ),*

where  $[f_n s_b] = [if [x_0 = (e_{l.*.1} \ y_0 \ e_{l.*.2}) \text{ for some } e_{l.*.1} \text{ and } e_{l.*.2}] \text{ then } (e_{l.*.1} \ e_{l.*.2}) \text{ else } [if [x_0 = (e_{l.*}) \text{ for some } e_{l.*}] \text{ then } (e_{l.*}) \text{ else und}]]$ .

The element  $(upd \ x \ y_1 : z_1, \dots, y_{n_t} : z_{n_t})$  specifying the sequential updates of the attribute element  $x$  at the points  $y_1, \dots, y_{n_t}$  by  $z_1, \dots, z_{n_t}$  is defined as follows:

*(definition  $(upd \ x \ y)$  var  $(x)$  seq  $(y)$  val  $(x)$*

*where  $((x :: * \text{ is attribute}) \text{ and } ((y) \text{ is } (sequence \ update))) \text{ then } (upd :: att \ x :: * \ y));$*

*(definition  $(upd :: att \ x \ y \ z)$  var  $(y)$  seq  $(z)$  und  $(x)$*

*then  $(let \ w \text{ be } (upd1 :: att \ x \ y) \text{ in } (upd :: att \ w \ z));$*

*(definition  $(upd :: att \ x)$  var  $(x)$  then  $x$ );*

*(definition  $(upd1 :: att \ x \ y : z)$  var  $(x, y, z)$  val  $(z)$*

*then  $(upd1 :: att \ x \ y : z :: *) :: atm$ );*

*(interpretation  $(upd1 :: att \ x \ y : z) :: atm$  var  $(x, y, z)$  then  $f_n$ ),*

where  $[f_n s_b] = [x_0 \ y_0 : z_0]$ .

The element  $(upd\ x\ y : z)$  specifying the update of the sequence element  $x$  at the index  $y$  by  $z$  is defined as follows:

(definition  $(upd\ x\ y\ z)$  var  $(x, y, z)$  val  $(x, y, z)$   
 where  $((x :: * \text{ is sequence})$  and  $(y :: * \text{ is nat})$  and  $(y :: * \leq ((len\ x :: * :: q) + 1))$   
 then  $(upd :: seq\ x :: * y :: * z :: *) :: atm$ );  
 (interpretation  $(upd :: seq\ x\ y : z) :: atm$  var  $(x, y, z)$  then  $f_n$ ),

where  $[f_n\ s_b] = [att-obj-to-seq\ [[seq-to-att-obj\ x_0]\ y_0 : z_0]]$ .

The element  $(x\ in :: set\ y)$  specifying that  $x$  is an element of the sequence element  $y$  is defined as follows:

(definition  $(x\ in :: set\ y)$  var  $(x, y)$  where  $(y \text{ is sequence})$   
 then  $(x\ in :: set\ y) :: atm$ );  
 (interpretation  $(x\ in :: set\ y) :: atm$  var  $(x, y)$  then  $f_n$ ),

where  $[f_n\ s_b] = [x_0 \in y_0]$ .

The element  $(x\ includes :: set\ y)$  specifying that the sequence element  $x$  includes the elements of the sequence element  $y$  is defined as follows:

(definition  $(x\ includes :: set\ y)$  var  $(x, y)$   
 where  $((x \text{ is sequence})$  and  $(y \text{ is sequence}))$  then  $(x\ includes :: set\ y) :: atm$ );  
 (interpretation  $(x\ includes :: set\ y) :: atm$  var  $(x, y)$  then  $f_n$ ),

where  $[f_n\ s_b] = [if\ [e_l \in x_0 \text{ for each } e_l \in y_0] \text{ then true else und}]$ .

The element  $(attributes\ in\ x)$  specifying the sequence of attributes of the attribute element  $x$  is defined as follows:

(definition  $(attributes\ in\ x)$  var  $(x)$  where  $(x \text{ is attribute})$   
 then  $(attributes\ in\ x) :: atm$ );  
 (interpretation  $(attributes\ in\ x) :: atm$  var  $(x, y)$  then  $f_n$ ),

where  $[f_n\ s_b] = (a_{rg.1}, \dots, a_{rg.n_{t0}})$  for  $x_0 = (a_{rg.1} : v_{l.1}, \dots, a_{rg.n_{t0}} : v_{l.n_{t0}})$ .

The element  $(values\ in\ x)$  specifying the sequence of attribute values of the attribute element  $x$  is defined as follows:

(definition  $(values\ in\ x)$  var  $(x)$  where  $(x \text{ is attribute})$  then  $(values\ in\ x) :: atm$ );  
 (interpretation  $(values\ in\ x) :: atm$  var  $(x, y)$  then  $f_n$ ),

where  $[f_n\ s_b] = (v_{l.1}, \dots, v_{l.n_{t0}})$  for  $x_0 = (a_{rg.1} : v_{l.1}, \dots, a_{rg.n_{t0}} : v_{l.n_{t0}})$ .

The element  $(element\ in\ x)$  specifying the element of the sorted element  $x$  is defined as follows:

(*definition (element in  $x$ ) var ( $x$ ) then (if  $x$  matches  $y :: z$  var ( $y, z$ ) then  $y :: q$ )*).

The element (*sort in  $x$* ) specifying the sort of the sorted element  $x$  is defined as follows:

(*definition (sort in  $x$ ) var ( $x$ ) then (if  $x$  matches  $y :: z$  var ( $y, z$ ) then  $z :: q$ )*).

The element (*attribute in  $x$* ) specifying the attribute of the element update  $x$  is defined as follows:

(*definition (attribute in  $x$ ) var ( $x$ ) then (if  $x$  matches  $y : z$  var ( $y, z$ ) then  $y :: q$ )*).

The element (*value in  $x$* ) specifying the value of the element update  $x$  is defined as follows:

(*definition (value in  $x$ ) var ( $x$ ) then (if  $x$  matches  $y : z$  var ( $y, z$ ) then  $z :: q$ )*).

## 15.5. Boolean operations

The element *true* is defined as follows:

(*definition true then true :: q*).

The element ( *$x$  and  $y$* ) specifying the conjunction of  $x$  and  $y$  is defined as follows:

(*definition ( $x$  and  $y$ ) var ( $x, y$ ) then (if  $x$  then  $y$  else und)*).

The elements ( $x \text{ } o_p \text{ } y$ ), where  $o_p \in \{or, =>, <=>\}$  specifying the disjunction, implication and equivalence of  $x$  and  $y$  are defined in the similar way.

The element ( $x_1$  and  $x_2$  and ... and  $x_{n_t}$ ) specifying the conjunction of  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_t}$  is defined as follows:

(*definition ( $x$  and  $y$  and  $z$ ) var ( $x, y$ ) seq ( $z$ ) then (( $x$  and  $y$ ) and  $z$ )*).

The element ( $x_1$  or  $x_2$  or ... or  $x_{n_t}$ ) specifying the disjunction of  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_t}$  is defined in the similar way.

The element (*not  $x$* ) specifying the negation of  $x$  is defined as follows:

(*definition (not  $x$ ) var ( $x$ ) then (if  $x$  then und else true)*).

## 15.6. Integers

The element  $i_{nt}$  is defined as follows:

(*definition  $x$  var ( $x$ ) where ( $x$  is int) then  $x :: q :: \text{name} :: (\text{"@"}, \text{int})$* ).

The definition satisfies the property:  $(\text{"@"}, \text{exception}) \prec_{[\text{ord.intr}]} (\text{"@"}, \text{int})$ .

The element ( $x + y$ ) specifying the sum of  $x$  and  $y$  is defined as follows:

(*definition ( $x + y$ ) var ( $x, y$ ) val ( $x, y$ )*

*where (( $x :: * \text{ is int}$ ) and ( $y :: * \text{ is int}$ )) then ( $x :: * + y :: *$ ) :: atm);*

(*interpretation ( $x + y$ ) :: atm var ( $x, y$ ) then  $f_n$ ,*

where  $[f_n s_b] = [x_0 + y_0]$ .

The elements  $(x o_p y)$ , where  $o_p \in \{-, *, \}$ , specifying the integer operations  $-$  and  $*$  are defined in the similar way.

The element  $(x \text{ div } y)$  specifying the quotient of  $x$  divided by  $y$  is defined as follows:

*(definition  $(x \text{ div } y) \text{ var } (x, y) \text{ val } (x, y)$ )*

*where  $((x :: * \text{ is int}) \text{ and } (y :: * \text{ is int}) \text{ and } (y :: * \neq 0))$*

*then  $(x :: * \text{ div } y :: *) :: \text{atm}$ ;*

*(interpretation  $(x \text{ div } y) :: \text{atm} \text{ var } (x, y) \text{ then } f_n$ ),*

where  $[f_n s_b] = [x_0 \text{ div } y_0]$ .

The element  $(x \text{ mod } y)$  specifying the integer operation *mod* is defined in the similar way.

The element  $(x < y)$  specifying that  $x$  is less than  $y$  is defined as follows:

*(definition  $(x < y) \text{ var } (x, y) \text{ val } (x, y)$ )*

*where  $((x :: * \text{ is int}) \text{ and } (y :: * \text{ is int})) \text{ then } (x :: * < y :: *) :: \text{atm}$ ;*

*(interpretation  $(x < y) :: \text{atm} \text{ var } (x, y) \text{ then } f_n$ ),*

where  $[f_n s_b] = [x_0 < y_0]$ .

The elements  $(x o_p y)$ , where  $o_p \in \{<=, >, >=\}$ , specifying the integer relations  $\leq$ ,  $>$  and  $\geq$ , are defined in the similar way.

## 15.7. Conceptuals operations

The element  $(x \text{ in } y)$  specifying the value of the conceptual  $x$  in the state  $y$  is defined as follows:

*(definition  $(x \text{ in } y) \text{ var } (x, y)$ )*

*where  $((x \text{ is conceptual}) \text{ and } (z \text{ is state})) \text{ then } (x \text{ in } y) :: \text{atm}$ ;*

*(interpretation  $(x \text{ in } y) :: \text{atm} \text{ var } (x, y) \text{ then } f_n$ ),*

where  $[f_n s_b] = [y_0 x_0]$ .

The element  $x :: \text{state} :: y$  specifying the value of the conceptual  $x$  in the substate with the name  $y$  of the current configuration is defined as follows:

*(definition  $x :: \text{state} :: y \text{ var } (x, y) \text{ where } (x \text{ is conceptual})$ )*

*then  $(x \text{ in } (\text{conf} :: q .. y)) x :: \text{state} :: y :: \text{atm}$ ;*

*(in  $x :: \text{state} :: y :: \text{atm} \text{ var } (x, y) \text{ then } f_n$ ),*

where  $(x_0 :: \text{state} :: y_0 :: \text{atm}, e_{l.*} \# c_{nf} \rightarrow_{f_n, s_b} e_{l.*} \# [[c_{nf} y_0] x_0] \# c_{nf}$ .

The element  $c_{ncpl}$  is a shortcut for  $c_{ncpl} :: ()$ .

## 15.8. Countable concepts operations

A normal element  $c_{ncp.c}$  is a countable concept in  $\llbracket c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if  $\llbracket [c_{nf} \text{ countable-concept}] (0 : c_{ncp.c}) \rrbracket \in N_t$ . Thus, the substate *countable-concept* specifies countable concepts. Let  $C_{ncp.c}$  be a set of countable concepts. The element  $\llbracket [c_{nf} \text{ countable-concept}] (0 : c_{ncp.c}) \rrbracket$  is called an order in  $\llbracket c_{ncp.c}, c_{nf} \rrbracket$ . Let  $O_{rd.ncp.c}$  be a set of orders of countable concepts. An element  $n_t :: cc :: c_{ncp.c}$  is called an instance in  $\llbracket c_{ncp.c} \rrbracket$ . An element  $n_t :: cc :: c_{ncp.c}$  is an instance in  $\llbracket c_{ncp.c}, c_{nf} \rrbracket$  if  $n_t \leq o_{rd.ncp.c} \llbracket c_{ncp.c}, c_{nf} \rrbracket$ .

The element (*x is countable-concept*) specifying that  $x$  is a countable concept is defined as follows:

(*definition (x is countable-concept) var (x)*)

*then (let w be ((cnf .. countable-concept) .. (0 : x)) in (w is int)).*

The element  $n_t :: cc :: c_{ncp.c}$  is defined by the rule:

(*definition x :: cc :: y var (x, y) where ((x is int) and (y is countable-concept))*)

*then x :: cc :: y :: q).*

## 15.9. Matching operations

The conditional pattern matching element  $e_l$  of the form (*if x matches y var z seq u then v else w*), where  $(y, z, u)$  is a pattern specification, is defined as follows:

(*definition (if x matches y var z seq u then v else w) var (x, y, z, u, v, w)*)

*where ((z is sequence) and (u is sequence) and (z includes :: set u))*

*then (if x matches y var z seq u then v else w) :: atm);*

(*interpretation (if x matches y var z seq u then v else w) :: atm*

*var (x, y, z, u, v, w) then  $f_n$ ),*

where  $[value (if x_0 \text{ matches } y_0 \text{ var } z_0 \text{ seq } u_0 \text{ then } v_0 \text{ else } w_0) :: atm \ s_b \ c_{nf}]$ ,  $e_{l.*} \# c_{nf} \rightarrow_{f_n, s_b}$   $[if [x_0 \text{ is an instance in } \llbracket (y_0, z_0, u_0), m_t, s_{b.1} \rrbracket \text{ for some } s_{b.1}] \text{ then } [subst \ s_{b.1} \cup (conf :: in : c_{nf}) \ v_0] \text{ else } [subst (conf :: in : c_{nf}) \ w_0]]$ ,  $e_{l.*} \# c_{nf}$ . The objects  $x, y, z, u, v$  and  $w$  are called a matched element, pattern, variable specification, sequence variable specification, *then*-branch and *else*-branch in  $\llbracket e_l \rrbracket$ . The elements of  $z$  are called pattern variables in  $\llbracket e_l \rrbracket$ . The element  $e_l$  executes the instance of the *then*-branch  $v$  in  $\llbracket s_{b.1} \rrbracket$  if  $x$  is an instance in  $\llbracket y, s_{b.1} \rrbracket$ . Otherwise, the element  $e_l$  executes the *else*-branch  $w$ .

Let  $\{v_{r.*}\}$ ,  $\{v_{r.s.*}\}$ ,  $\{v_{r.*.1}\}$  and  $\{v_{r.*.2}\}$  are pairwise disjoint, and  $\{v_{r.*.3}\} \subseteq \{v_{r.*}\} \cup \{v_{r.*.1}\} \cup \{v_{r.*.2}\}$ . The form (*if  $e_l$  matches  $p_t$  var  $(v_{r.*})$  seq  $(v_{r.s.*})$  abn  $(v_{r.*.1})$  und  $(v_{r.*.2})$  val  $(v_{r.*.3})$  where*

$c_{nd}$  then  $e_{l,1}$  else  $e_{l,2}$ ) is defined as follows:

- (if  $e_l$  matches  $p_t$  var ( $v_{r,*}$ ) seq ( $v_{r.s,*}$ ) und ( $v_{r.*,1}$ ) abn ( $v_{r.*,2}$ ) val ( $v_{r.*,3}$ ) where  $c_{nd}$  then  $e_{l,1}$  else  $e_{l,2}$ ) is a shortcut for (if  $e_l$  matches  $p_t$  var ( $v_{r,*}$ ) seq ( $v_{r.s,*}$ ) abn ( $v_{r.*,1}$ ) und ( $v_{r.*,2}$ ) val ( $v_{r.*,3}$ ) then (if  $c_{nd}$  then  $e_{l,1}$  else  $e_{l,2} :: (\text{nosubstexcept conf} :: \text{in})$ ) else  $e_{l,2}$ );
- (if  $e_l$  matches  $p_t$  var ( $v_{r,*}$ ) seq ( $v_{r.s,*}$ ) und ( $v_{r.*,1}$ ) abn ( $v_{r.*,2}$ ) val ( $v_{r.*,3}$ ,  $v_r$ ) then  $e_{l,1}$  else  $e_{l,2}$ ) is a shortcut for (if  $e_l$  matches  $p_t$  var ( $v_{r,*}$ ) seq ( $v_{r.s,*}$ ) und ( $v_{r.*,1}$ ) abn ( $v_{r.*,2}$ ) val ( $v_{r.*,3}$ ) then (let  $w$  be  $v_r$  in [subst ( $v_r :: * : w$ )  $e_{l,1}$ ]) else  $e_{l,2}$ ), where  $w$  is a new element that does not occur in this definition;
- (if  $e_l$  matches  $p_t$  var ( $v_{r,*}$ ) seq ( $v_{r.s,*}$ ) und ( $v_{r.*,1}$ ) abn ( $v_{r.*,2}$ ) val () then  $e_{l,1}$  else  $e_{l,2}$ ) is a shortcut for (if  $e_l$  matches  $p_t$  var ( $v_{r,*}$ ) seq ( $v_{r.s,*}$ ) und ( $v_{r.*,1}$ ) abn ( $v_{r.*,2}$ ) then  $e_{l,1}$  else  $e_{l,2}$ );
- (if  $e_l$  matches  $p_t$  var ( $v_{r,*}$ ) seq ( $v_{r.s,*}$ ) und ( $v_{r.*,1}$ ,  $v_r$ ) abn ( $v_{r.*,2}$ ) then  $b_d$ ) is a shortcut for (if  $e_l$  matches  $p_t$  var ( $v_{r,*}$ ) seq ( $v_{r.s,*}$ ) und ( $v_{r.*,1}$ ) abn ( $v_{r.*,2}$ ) then (if ( $v_r$  is undefined) then und else  $e_{l,1}$ ) else  $e_{l,2}$ );
- (if  $e_l$  matches  $p_t$  var ( $v_{r,*}$ ) seq ( $v_{r.s,*}$ ) und () abn ( $v_{r.*,2}$ ) then  $e_{l,1}$  else  $e_{l,2}$ ) is a shortcut for (if  $e_l$  matches  $p_t$  var ( $v_{r,*}$ ) seq ( $v_{r.s,*}$ ) abn ( $v_{r.*,2}$ ) then  $e_{l,1}$  else  $e_{l,2}$ );
- (if  $e_l$  matches  $p_t$  var ( $v_{r,*}$ ) seq ( $v_{r.s,*}$ ) abn ( $v_{r.*,2}$ ,  $v_r$ ) then  $e_{l,1}$  else  $e_{l,2}$ ) is a shortcut for (if  $e_l$  matches  $p_t$  var ( $v_{r,*}$ ) seq ( $v_{r.s,*}$ ) abn ( $v_{r.*,2}$ ) then (if ( $v_r$  is abnormal) then  $v_r$  else  $e_{l,1}$ ) else  $e_{l,2}$ );
- (if  $e_l$  matches  $p_t$  var ( $v_{r,*}$ ) seq ( $v_{r.s,*}$ ) abn () then  $e_{l,1}$  else  $e_{l,2}$ ) is a shortcut for (if  $e_l$  matches  $p_t$  var ( $v_{r,*}$ ) seq ( $v_{r.s,*}$ ) then  $e_{l,1}$  else  $e_{l,2}$ ).

The element  $c_{nd}$  specifies the restriction on the values of the pattern variables. The undefined value is propagated through the variables of  $v_{r.*,1}$ . Abnormal values are propagated through the variables of  $v_{r.*,2}$ . The special element  $v_r :: *$  references to the value of element associated with the pattern variable  $v_r$ . A pattern variable is evaluated if the element associated with it is evaluated. Thus, the sequence  $v_{r.*,3}$  contains evaluated pattern variables. A pattern variable is quoted if the element associated with it is not evaluated.

The objects  $\text{var} (v_{r,*})$ ,  $\text{seq} (v_{r.s,*})$ ,  $\text{und} (v_{r.*,1})$ ,  $\text{abn} (v_{r.*,2})$ ,  $\text{val} (v_{r.*,3})$ , where  $c_{nd}$  and  $\text{else } e_{l,2}$  in this form can be omitted. The omitted objects correspond to  $\text{var} ()$ ,  $\text{seq} ()$ ,  $\text{und} ()$ ,  $\text{abn} ()$ ,  $\text{val} ()$ , where  $\text{true}$  and  $\text{else skip}$ , respectively.

The form (if  $e_l$  matches  $p_t$  var ( $v_{r,*}$ ) seq ( $v_{r.s,*}$ ) und ( $v_{r.*,1}$ ) abn ( $v_{r.*,2}$ ) val ( $v_{r.*,3}$ ) where  $c_{nd}$ ) is a shortcut for (if  $e_l$  matches  $p_t$  var ( $v_{r,*}$ ) seq ( $v_{r.s,*}$ ) und ( $v_{r.*,1}$ ) abn ( $v_{r.*,2}$ ) val ( $v_{r.*,3}$ ) where  $c_{nd}$

*then true else und*). The objects  $var (v_{r.*})$ ,  $seq (v_{r.s.*})$ ,  $und (v_{r.*.1})$ ,  $abn (v_{r.*.2})$ ,  $val (v_{r.*.3})$  and *where*  $c_{nd}$  in this form can be omitted. The omitted objects correspond to  $var ()$ ,  $seq ()$ ,  $und ()$ ,  $abn ()$ ,  $val ()$  and *where true*, respectively.

### 15.10. Configurations operations

The element  $conf :: cur$  specifying the current configuration is defined as follows:

(*definition*  $conf :: cur$  *then*  $conf :: cur :: atm$ );

(*interpretation*  $conf :: cur :: atm$  *then*  $f_n$ ),

where  $[f_n \ s_b] = c_{nf}$ .

## 16. Justification of requirements for conceptual configuration systems

In this section, we establish that CCSs meet the requirements stated in section 1:

1. *The formalism must model the conceptual structure of states and state objects of the IQS.* The conceptual structure of states of the IQS is modelled by elements (attributes, concepts, individuals) and, in more detail, usual and generic conceptuials of conceptual configurations.
2. *The formalism must model the content of the conceptual structure.* The content of the conceptual structure is modelled by conceptual configurations.
3. *The formalism must model information queries, information query objects, answers and answer objects of the IQS.* Information queries, information query objects, answers and answer objects of the IQS are modelled by elements of the CCS.
4. *The formalism must model the interpretation function of the IQS.* The interpretation function of the IQS is modelled by the interpretation function *value* of the CCS.
5. *The formalism must be quite universal to model typical ontological elements.* Models of typical ontological elements is presented in sections 6-10, 12 and 13.
6. *The formalism must provide a quite complete classification of ontological elements, including the determination of their new kinds and subkinds with arbitrary conceptual granularity.* Classification of ontological elements based on the two-level scheme is presented in section 11. The arbitrary conceptual granularity is provided by conceptuials.
7. *The model of the interpretation function must be extensible.* The model of the interpretation function of the IQS is extended by addition of element definitions.

8. *The formalism must have language support. The language associated with the formalism must define syntactic representations of models of states, state objects, queries, query objects, answers and answer objects and includes the set of predefined basic query models.* The CCSL language associated with CCSs defines syntactic representations of models of states, state objects, queries, query objects, answers and answer objects and includes the set of predefined basic query models.

*Thus, the requirements are met for CCSs.*

## **17. Comparison of conceptual configuration systems with abstract state machines**

Abstract state machines (ASMs) [3, 4] are the special kind of transition systems in which states are algebraic systems. They are a formalism for abstract unified modelling of computer systems. We compare CCSs with ASMs, based on the requirements stated in section 1:

1. *The formalism models the conceptual structure of states of the IQS.* The conceptual structure of states of the IQS is modelled by the appropriate choice of symbols of the signature of an algebraic system. Thus, both ASMs and CCSs model the conceptual structure of states of the IQS, but CCSs make it by more natural ontological way.
2. *The formalism models the content of the conceptual structure.* The content of the conceptual structure is modelled by the interpretation of signature symbols in a particular state.
3. *The formalism must model information queries, information query objects, answers and answer objects of the IQS.* Information queries and information query objects of the IQS are modelled by terms, and answers and answer objects of the IQS are modelled by values of the terms. The element-based representation in CCSs is richer than the term-based representation in ASMs.
4. *The formalism must model the interpretation function of the IQS.* The interpretation function of the IQS are modelled by the term interpretation function that is simpler than the element interpretation function in CCSs.
5. *The formalism is quite universal to model typical ontological elements.* In contrast to CCSs, typical ontological elements are not naturally modelled by ASMs.
6. *The formalism provides a quite complete classification of ontological elements, including the determination of their new kinds and subkinds with arbitrary conceptual granularity.*

In contrast to CCSs, ASMs do not allow to classify naturally ontological elements and define their new kinds and subkinds with arbitrary conceptual granularity.

7. *The model of the interpretation function must be extensible.* The model of the interpretation function can not be directly extended in ASMs.
8. *The formalism must have language support.* There are two languages AsmL [5] and XasM [6] for specification of ASMs. The AsmL language is more expressive than CTSL. It is fully integrated into the Microsoft .NET environment and uses XML and Word for literate specifications. XASM realizes a component-based modularization concept based on the notion of external functions as defined in ASMs.

## 18. Conclusion

In the paper two formalisms (information query systems and conceptual configuration systems) for abstract unified modelling of the artifacts of the conceptual design of closed information systems have been proposed. The basic definitions of the theory of CCSs have been given. The classification and interpretation of elements of such conceptual structures of CCSs as conceptuials, conceptual states, conceptual configurations, concepts and attributes has been presented. The classification of ontological elements based on these conceptual structures has been described. A language of CCSs has been defined.

The feature of conceptual design for closed information systems based on conceptual configuration systems is that they allow us to describe the conceptual structure of states of the information systems in detail. We plan to extend this formalism to describe both states and state transitions in detail and apply it for conceptual design of wider class of information systems.

## References

1. Sokolowski J., Banks C. Modeling and Simulation Fundamentals: Theoretical Underpinnings and Practical Domains. Wiley, 2010.
2. Chen P. Entity-relationship modeling: historical events, future trends, and lessons learned // Software pioneers. Springer-Verlag New York, 2002. P. 296-310.
3. Gurevich Y. Abstract state machines: An Overview of the Project // Foundations of Information and Knowledge Systems. Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. 2004. Vol. 2942. P. 6-13.
4. Gurevich Y. Evolving Algebras. Lipari Guide // Specification and Validation Methods. Oxford University Press, 1995. P. 9-36.

5. AsmL: Abstract State Machine Language. URL: <https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/project/asml-abstract-state-machine-language/> (accessed: 27.12.2016).
6. XasM — An Extensible, Component-Based Abstract State Machines Language. URL: <http://xasm.sourceforge.net/XasmAnl00/XasmAnl00.html> (accessed: 27.12.2016).

